

# On the residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields \*

I.D. Chipchakov \*

Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Acad. G. Bonchev Str., bl. 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria;

e-mail: chipchak@math.bas.bg

**Abstract.** Let  $(K, v)$  be a Henselian valued field satisfying the following conditions, for a given prime number  $p$ : (i) central division  $K$ -algebras of (finite)  $p$ -primary dimensions have Schur indices equal to their exponents; (ii) the value group  $v(K)$  properly includes its subgroup  $pv(K)$ . The paper shows that if  $\widehat{K}$  is the residue field of  $(K, v)$  and  $\widehat{R}$  is an intermediate field of the maximal  $p$ -extension  $\widehat{K}(p)/\widehat{K}$ , then the natural homomorphism  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\widehat{R})$  of Brauer groups maps surjectively the  $p$ -component  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_p$  on  $\text{Br}(\widehat{R})_p$ . It proves that  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_p$  is divisible, if  $p > 2$  or  $\widehat{K}$  is a nonreal field, and that  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_2$  is of order 2 when  $\widehat{K}$  is formally real. We also obtain that  $\widehat{R}$  embeds as a  $\widehat{K}$ -subalgebra in a central division  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra  $\widehat{\Delta}$  if and only if the degree  $[\widehat{R}:\widehat{K}]$  divides the index of  $\widehat{\Delta}$ .

**Key words:** stable field; Henselian valuation; residue field; totally indivisible value group; central division algebra; Brauer group;  $p$ -quasilocal field; cyclic algebra; norm group; almost perfect field.

## Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of central division algebras and Brauer groups of fields pointed out in the title. Let us note that a field  $E$  is said to be stable, if the Schur index  $\text{ind}(A)$  of each finite-dimensional central simple  $E$ -algebra  $A$  equals the exponent  $\text{exp}(A)$ , i.e. the order of the similarity class  $[A]$  of  $A$  in the Brauer group  $\text{Br}(E)$ . We say that  $E$  is absolutely stable, if its finite extensions are stable fields. Suppose that  $K$  is a field with a Henselian valuation  $v$  (see (2.1)). It is easily seen that if  $K$  is perfect, the value group  $v(K)$  is divisible and  $\text{char}(K) = \text{char}(\widehat{K})$ ,

---

\* Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 12E15 16K20; Secondary 12F10 12J10

\* Partially supported by Grants MM1106/2001 and MI-1503/2005 of the Bulgarian Foundation for Scientific Research.

where  $\widehat{K}$  is the residue field of  $(K, v)$ , then  $K$  is stable if and only if  $\widehat{K}$  is of the same kind. This case does not make a valuation-theoretic interest, so we focus our attention on the one of stable  $K$  and  $p$ -indivisible  $v(K)$  (i.e.  $v(K) \neq pv(K)$ ), for a given prime number  $p$ . As it turns out, then  $\widehat{K}$  is a  $p$ -quasilocal field, i.e. it satisfies one of the following conditions: (i) the  $p$ -component  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_p$  of  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})$  is trivial or  $\widehat{K}$  coincides with its maximal  $p$ -extension  $\widehat{K}(p)$  in a separable closure  $\widehat{K}_{\text{sep}}$  of  $\widehat{K}$ ; (ii) every cyclic extension of  $\widehat{K}$  of degree  $p$  embeds as a  $\widehat{K}$ -subalgebra in each central division  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra of index  $p$ . We determine the structure of  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_p$  and describe the arising close relations between central division  $\widehat{K}$ -algebras of  $p$ -primary dimensions and intermediate fields of  $\widehat{K}(p)/\widehat{K}$ . This allows us to find when such an intermediate field splits a given central division  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra. Supplemented by a description of the relations between  $\widehat{K}$  and the quotient group  $v(K)/pv(K)$  [Ch1, Theorem 2.1], the results of the present paper enable one to characterize some of the basic types of Henselian valued stable fields (see [Ch1, Theorem 3.1 and Sect. 4] and [Ch3, Sect. 3]). This simplifies the process of verifying whether a given Henselian valued field is stable (see Proposition 4.5). Note also that our research plays an essential role in the study of the structure of  $\text{Br}(K)$  carried out in [Ch7,8]; in particular, [Ch7, Proposition 6.2] provides a classification, up-to an isomorphism, of the abelian groups that can be realized as reduced parts of Brauer groups of equicharacteristic Henselian valued absolutely stable fields with totally indivisible value groups (i.e.  $p$ -indivisible, for every prime  $p$ ).

It is known that global fields and local fields are absolutely stable (cf. [P, Sect. 17.10] and [Re, (32.19)]). The class of stable fields is larger and of greater diversity than the subclass of absolutely stable fields (cf. [Ch3] and [Ch1, Corollary 2.6 and Sect. 4]). Both are singled out by the general relations between indices and exponents (see (1.1)), and by additional restrictions on them reflecting the specific nature of some traditionally interesting centres. It should be noted, however, that our present knowledge of the stability property does not bear the character of a unified theory but is rather a collection of largely independent results on special fields arising mainly from number theory, commutative algebra and the theory of algebraic surfaces (cf. [Jo; FSa; Ar, Sect. 1; MS, (16.8)]). Similarly to other topics related to fields, simple algebras and Brauer groups (see [Am2; Pl] and [P, Sects. 17-20]), this draws one's attention to the valuation-theoretic approach to this area. In particular, the interest in Henselian valued stable fields and the choice of the topic of this paper are motivated by the fact that their class contains Laurent formal power series fields in one variable over local fields, and nearly all presently known stable fields  $K$  with indivisible  $\text{Br}(K)_p$ , for infinitely many  $p$  (cf. [Ch1, Corollaries 4.5 ÷ 4.7]).

Throughout the paper, simple algebras are assumed to be associative with a unit and finite-dimensional over their centres, Brauer groups of fields are considered to be additively presented, Galois groups are viewed as profinite with respect to the Krull topology, and homomorphisms of profinite groups are supposed to be continuous. By a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension, we mean a Galois extension with a Galois group isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers. For any field  $E$ ,  $d(E)$  is the class of central division  $E$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{G}_E := \mathcal{G}(E_{\text{sep}}/E)$  denotes the absolute Galois group of  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  is the set of those prime numbers  $p$  for which  $E(p) \neq E$ , and  ${}_p\text{Br}(E) = \{\delta \in \text{Br}(E) : p\delta = 0\}$ . The symbol  $\pi_{E/F}$  stands for the natural homomorphism (the scalar extension map) of  $\text{Br}(E)$  into  $\text{Br}(F)$ , for any field extension  $F/E$ . When  $F/E$  is finite and separable, the corestriction mapping  $\text{Br}(F) \rightarrow \text{Br}(E)$  is denoted by  $\text{cor}_{F/E}$ . Our basic notations and terminology concerning simple algebras, Brauer groups, valuation theory, abstract abelian torsion groups, field extensions, Galois theory, profinite groups and Galois cohomology are standard, as those used, for example, in [P; J; TY; F; L1; Se1] and [Ko]. The terms "absolutely stable" (introduced in [B]) and "stable closed" (used in [Ch1,2,3]) are identical in content. Note also that a pro- $p$ -group  $P$  is said to be a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type, if it is infinite and the homomorphism  $\varphi_a : H^1(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^2(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$  mapping each  $b \in H^1(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$  into the cup-product  $a \cup b$  is surjective whenever  $a \in H^1(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $a \neq 0$  (where  $p$  is prime and  $H^i(P, \mathbb{F}_p) : i = 1, 2$ , is the  $i$ -th continuous cohomology group of  $P$  with coefficients in the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $p$  elements). We call a degree of  $P$  the dimension of  $H^2(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Examples of such groups and more information about them are given at the end of Sections 3, 4 and 8.

The plan of the paper is as follows: Section 1 includes preliminaries on fields, simple algebras and Brauer groups, used in the sequel. Section 2 contains a necessary condition for stability of Henselian valued fields, which allows us to turn our attention mainly to  $p$ -quasilocal fields  $E$  such that  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . In Section 3, we determine the structure of  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  as an abstract abelian group, and prove that central division  $E$ -algebras of  $p$ -primary dimensions are cyclic and of indices equal to their exponents. The main result of the paper is stated as Theorem 4.1. It shows that  $\pi_{E/R}$  maps  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  surjectively on  $\text{Br}(R)_p$ , for any intermediate field  $R$  of  $E(p)/E$ . Theorem 4.1 also indicates that  $R$  is a splitting field of a division algebra  $D \in d(E)$  of  $p$ -primary dimension if and only if the degree  $[R:E]$  is infinite or divisible by  $\text{ind}(D)$ , and that  $R$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra if and only if  $[R:E]$  divides  $\text{ind}(D)$ . In addition, our main result implies that the class of  $p$ -groups of Demushkin type of fixed degree  $d \geq 0$ , which are realizable as Galois groups of maximal  $p$ -extensions of fields containing primitive  $p$ -th roots of unity, is closed under the formation of open

subgroups. In Sections 5 and 6 we prove that the multiplicative group  $E^*$  equals the product of the norm groups  $N(F_1/E)$  and  $N(F_2/E)$ , for each pair  $(F_1, F_2)$  of different extensions of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree  $p$ . This result is crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.1 presented in Section 7 (and is obtained by a method that seems to be of independent interest). Section 8 concentrates on residue fields of Henselian valued absolutely stable fields with totally indivisible value groups. Our main result on this topic shows that a nonreal and perfect field  $E$  lies in the considered class if and only if the Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  are of Demushkin type whenever  $p$  is a prime number for which the cohomological  $p$ -dimension  $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E)$  of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is nonzero. We refer the reader to [Ch3, Sect. 3], for a similar but more complete characterization of the formally real fields of this type (which contains a description of their absolute Galois groups, up-to an isomorphism).

A preliminary version of this paper is contained in the preprint [Ch5], and its main result has been announced in [Ch4]. The main results of [Ch5] (including those referred to in [Ch1,2,3]) can be found in Sections 2, 3 and 8 of the present paper.

## 1. Preliminaries on simple algebras and Brauer groups

In this Section, we give a brief account of some fundamental results of the classical theory of simple algebras over arbitrary fields, which will often be used without explicit references; a more detailed presentation of the theory can be found, for example, in [P; Dr1] and [J]. Let  $E$  be a field and  $s(E)$  the class of central simple  $E$ -algebras. By Wedderburn's structure theorem (cf. [P, Sect. 3.5]), each  $A \in s(E)$  is isomorphic to the full matrix ring  $M_n(A')$  of order  $n$  over some  $A' \in d(E)$ ; the order  $n$  is uniquely determined by  $A$ , and so is  $A'$ , up-to an isomorphism. Algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $s(E)$  are called similar (over  $E$ ), if the underlying division algebras  $A'_1$  and  $A'_2$  are isomorphic. This leads to the definition of  $\text{Br}(E)$  as the set of similarity classes of  $s(E)$  with the group operation induced by the tensor product in  $s(E)$ . It is well-known that  $\text{Br}(E)$  is an abelian torsion group; the general relations between the structure of a division algebra  $D \in d(E)$  and the similarity class  $[D] \in \text{Br}(E)$  are described as follows (cf. [P, Sect. 14.4]):

- (1.1) (i)  $\exp(D)$  divides  $\text{ind}(D)$  and shares with it a common set of prime divisors;
- (ii)  $D$  decomposes into a tensor product of central division  $E$ -algebras of primary dimensions; these algebras are uniquely determined by  $D$ , up-to isomorphisms.

Conversely, Brauer has shown that any arrangement of positive integers admissible by (1.1) (i) can be realized as an index-exponent relation for some central division algebra (cf. [P, Sect. 19.6]). The following assertions provide a useful tool for calculating Schur indices of central simple algebras (cf. [P, Sect. 13.4]):

(1.2) Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite dimensional division algebras over an arbitrary field  $E$ , and at least one of them is contained in  $d(E)$ . Then:

- (i) The  $E$ -algebra  $X \otimes_E Y$  is isomorphic to  $M_k(T)$ , for some division  $E$ -algebra  $T$  and some divisor  $k$  of the dimensions  $[X:E]$  and  $[Y:E]$ . In particular, if  $\text{g.c.d.}([X:E], [Y:E]) = 1$ , then  $X \otimes_E Y$  is a division algebra;
- (ii) If  $Y$  is a field, then  $\text{ind}(X) \mid \text{ind}(X \otimes_E Y) \cdot [Y:E]$ , and equality holds if and only if  $Y$  embeds  $E$ -isomorphically into  $X$ ; if  $Y$  is a splitting field of  $X$  (i.e.  $[X]$  lies in the relative Brauer group  $\text{Br}(Y/E)$ ), then  $\text{ind}(X) \mid [Y:E]$ .

We continue with some observations that will be applied to the study of algebraic extensions of absolutely stable and of  $p$ -quasilocal fields. Let  $E/E_0$  be an algebraic field extension,  $A$  a finite dimensional  $E$ -algebra,  $B$  a basis of  $A$ ,  $\Sigma$  a finite subset of  $A$ ,  $\Sigma_1(B)$  the set of structural constants of  $A$  determined by  $B$ , and  $\Sigma_2(B)$  the set of coordinates of the elements of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $B$ . Then the extension  $E_1$  of  $E_0$  generated by the union  $\Sigma_1(B) \cup \Sigma_2(B)$  is finite, and the subring  $A_1$  of  $A$  generated by  $E_1 \cup B$  is an  $E_1$ -subalgebra of  $A$  satisfying the following (cf. [P, Sects. 9.2 and 9.4]):

- (1.3) (i) The  $E$ -algebras  $A_1 \otimes_{E_1} E$  and  $A$  are isomorphic;
- (ii) If  $A/E$  is a Galois extension and  $\Sigma$  contains the roots in  $A$  of the minimal polynomial over  $E$  of a given primitive element of  $A/E$ , then  $A_1/E_1$  is a Galois extension and the Galois groups  $\mathcal{G}(A_1/E_1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(A/E)$  are canonically isomorphic;
- (iii) If  $A \in d(E)$ , then  $\Sigma$  can be chosen so that  $A_1 \in d(E_1)$ ,  $\exp(A_1) = \exp(A)$  and  $\text{ind}(A_1) = \text{ind}(A)$ .

Let  $E'/E$  be a cyclic extension of degree  $m$  and  $\sigma$  a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(E'/E)$ . We denote by  $(E'/E, \sigma, \beta)$  the cyclic  $E$ -algebra associated with  $\sigma$  and an element  $\beta \in E^*$ . This algebra is defined as a left vector space over  $E'$  with a basis  $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{m-1}$ , and the multiplication satisfying the conditions  $\theta^m = \beta$  and  $\theta\beta' = \sigma(\beta')\theta$ :  $\beta' \in E'$ . The following statements characterize these algebras and clarify their role in the description of the relative Brauer group  $\text{Br}(E'/E)$  (cf. [P, Sect. 15.1]):

- (1.4) (i) An algebra  $B'$  over  $E$  is isomorphic to  $(E'/E, \sigma, b')$ , for some  $b' \in E^*$  if and only if  $B' \in s(E)$ ,  $[B':E] = m^2$  and  $E'$  is  $E$ -isomorphic to a maximal subfield of  $B'$ ;
- (ii) The cyclic  $E$ -algebras  $(E'/E, \sigma, c)$  and  $(E'/E, \sigma, c')$  are isomorphic if and only if  $c'c^{-1} \in N(E'/E)$ . Moreover, the mapping of  $E^*$  into  $s(E)$  by the rule  $\lambda \rightarrow (E'/E, \sigma, \lambda)$ :

$\lambda \in E^*$ , induces an isomorphism of the quotient group  $E^*/N(E'/E)$  on  $\text{Br}(E'/E)$ .

The structure of  $(E'/E, \sigma, \beta)$  is particularly simple when  $E$  contains a primitive

$m$ -th root of unity  $\varepsilon$ . Then  $E'/E$  is a Kummer extension (cf. [L1, Ch. VIII, Theorem 10]) and there are elements  $\alpha \in E^*$  and  $\xi \in E'$ , such that  $E' = E(\xi)$  and  $(E'/E, \sigma, \beta) = E(\xi, \theta: \xi^m = \alpha, \theta^m = \beta, \theta\xi = \varepsilon\xi\theta)$ . In this case,  $(E'/E, \sigma, \beta)$  is called a symbol algebra and usually is denoted by  $A_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta; E)$ . The general properties of symbol algebras and their analogues of dimension  $p^2$  over fields of characteristic  $p > 0$ , see [Se3, Ch. XIV, Sect. 1], enable one to prove the following lemma (and Lemma 7.2) by a separate discussion of the special cases of  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$  and  $p = \text{char}(E)$  (see, e.g. [Ch4]). For convenience of the reader, we present here unified proofs suggested by the referee.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field and  $L$  an extension of  $E$  presentable as a compositum  $L = F_1F_2$  of distinct cyclic extensions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $E$  of prime degree  $p$ . Assume also that  $F_3$  is an intermediate field of  $L/E$ , such that  $[F_3:E] = p$  and  $F_3 \neq F_j$ :  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $N(F_3/E)$  includes the intersection  $N(F_1/E) \cap N(F_2/E)$ .*

Before proving the lemma, let us recall that the character group  $C(G)$  of any profinite group  $G$  is the abelian group of continuous homomorphisms of  $G$  into the discrete quotient group  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  of the additive group  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers by the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers. This is equivalent to the standard definition of a character group used in topological group theory, in spite of the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is not a discrete subset of the compact group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the additive locally compact group of real numbers (see [K, Ch. 7, Corollary 5.3]). Note that  $C(G)$  is a torsion group, since each character of  $G$  maps it into a compact, hence a finite, subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Regarding  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  as a trivial  $G$ -module, we also identify  $C(G)$  with the continuous cohomology group  $H^1(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . This allows us to identify, for each prime  $p$ , the set  ${}_pC(G) = \{\chi \in C(G): p\chi = 0\}$  with the continuous homomorphism group  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the field with  $p$  elements (and is viewed as a discrete additive abelian group). When  $G = \mathcal{G}_E$ , for a given field  $E$ , we put  $X_p(E) = {}_pC(\mathcal{G}_E)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1.1.* It is clear from Galois theory that  $L/E$  is abelian and  $F_3/E$  is cyclic. Let  $X_p(E) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}_E, \mathbb{F}_p)$ , and for each  $\chi \in X_p(E)$ , denote by  $L_\chi$  the extension of  $E$  in  $E_{\text{sep}}$  fixed by the kernel  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$ . Also, let  $\chi_i$  be characters in  $X_p(E)$  such that  $F_i$  is the fixed field of  $\text{Ker}(\chi_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Because  $F_3 \subset F_1F_2$ ,  $\chi_3$  lies in the span of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  in  $X_p(E)$ . Take any  $c \in N(F_1/E) \cap N(F_2/E)$  and consider the pairing  $s: X_p(E) \times E^* \rightarrow {}_p\text{Br}(E)$  defined by the rule  $s(\chi, b) = (L_\chi/E, \sigma, b)$ , where  $\sigma$  maps to 1 in the map  $\mathcal{G}(L_\chi/E) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$  induced by  $\chi$ . (If  $\chi = 0$ , then  $L_\chi = E$  and  $\sigma = \text{id}$ .) By (1.4) (ii),  $s(\chi_1, c) = s(\chi_2, c) = 0$ . Since  $s$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear (see [Se3, Ch. XIV, Sect. 1]) and  $\chi_3$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear combination of  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ , it follows that  $s(\chi_3, c) = 0$ ; hence, by (1.4) (ii),  $c \in N(F_3/E)$ .

When  $L/E$  is an arbitrary cyclic field extension, the image  $\text{Im}(\pi_{E/L})$  of  $\pi_{E/L}$  is characterized by Teichmüller's theorem (cf. [Dr1, Ch. 9, Theorem 4]) as follows:

(1.5) For an algebra  $A \in s(L)$ , the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $[A]$  lies in  $\text{Im}(\pi_{E/L})$ , i.e.  $A$  is similar over  $L$  to  $A_0 \otimes_E L$ , for some  $A_0 \in s(E)$ ;
- (ii)  $[A]$  is fixed by the natural action of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E)$  on  $\text{Br}(L)$ , i.e. every  $E$ -automorphism  $\psi$  of the field  $L$  is extendable to an automorphism  $\bar{\psi}$  of  $A$  (viewed as an algebra over  $E$ ).

The next lemma is used in Section 4 for proving that  $\text{Br}(L)_p \subseteq \text{Im}(\pi_{E/L})$  in case  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal and  $L/E$  is a cyclic  $p$ -extension.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $H = \langle h \rangle$  a cyclic group of order  $p^t$ , for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $h - 1$  is a nilpotent element of the group ring  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})[H]$ , for any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* The binomial expansion shows that  $(h - 1)^{p^t} = py$ , for some  $y \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})[H]$ . Hence,  $(h - 1)^{p^t \cdot s} = (py)^s = p^s y^s = 0$ .

The following results enable us to take in Section 6 the crucial technical step towards proving the main result of this paper. They are well-known consequences of Amitsur's theorem [Am1] (see also [Roq1], [Roq2, Sect. 1] and [Am3, pp 1-3]) about the function fields of Brauer-Severi varieties:

- (1.6) (i) Every subgroup  $U$  of  $\text{Br}(E)$  is equal to  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_U/E)$ , for some composition  $\Lambda_U$  of function fields of Brauer-Severi varieties defined over  $E$ ; also,  $E$  is algebraically closed in  $\Lambda_U$  (cf. [FS, Theorem 1]);
- (ii) There exists a set  $\{\Lambda_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of extensions of  $E$ , such that  $\Lambda_1 = E$ , and for each index  $n$ ,  $\Lambda_n \subseteq \Lambda_{n+1}$ ,  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_{n+1}/\Lambda_n) = \text{Br}(\Lambda_n)$  and  $\Lambda_n$  is algebraically closed in  $\Lambda_{n+1}$ ; in particular, the union  $\Lambda' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n$  is a field with  $\text{Br}(\Lambda') = \{0\}$ , in which  $E$  is algebraically closed.

We end this Section by defining most of the special types of fields used in the sequel. A field  $F$  is said to be formally real, if  $-1$  is not presentable as a finite sum of elements of the set  $F^{*2} = \{f^2: f \in F^*\}$ ; we say that  $F$  is nonreal, otherwise. The field  $F$  is called Pythagorean, if it is formally real and  $F^{*2}$  is closed under the addition in  $F$ . This property is characterized as follows (cf. [Wh, Theorem 2]):

- (1.7)  $F$  is Pythagorean if and only if  $2 \in \mathcal{P}(F)$  and  $F$  does not admit a cyclic extension of degree 4.

A field  $E$  is said to be almost perfect, if every finite extension of  $E$  has a primitive element. It follows from the general theory of algebraic extensions that if  $\text{char}(E) = q > 0$ , then  $[E_1: E_1^q] = [E: E^q]$ , for each finite extension  $E_1$  of  $E$ ,

where  $E_1^q = \{\alpha_1^q : \alpha_1 \in E_1\}$  (cf. [L1, Ch. VII, Sect. 7, Corollary 4; Ch. VIII, Sect. 9, Corollary 1]). This implies that

(1.8)  $E$  is almost perfect if and only if  $\text{char}(E) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(E) = q > 0$  and  $[E : E^q]$  equals 1 or  $q$ . The classes of perfect fields and of almost perfect fields are closed under the formation of algebraic extensions.

It is known that complete discrete valued fields with perfect residue fields are almost perfect (cf. [E, (5.7)  $\div$  (5.10)]). We say that a field  $F$  is quasilocal, if every finite extension  $F_1/F$  satisfies the following condition:

Every cyclic extension  $F'_1$  of  $F_1$  is embeddable as an  $F_1$ -subalgebra in each  $D_1 \in d(F_1)$  of index divisible by  $[F'_1 : F_1]$ .

We prove in Section 8 that  $F$  is quasilocal if and only if its finite extensions are  $p$ -quasilocal fields, for every prime  $p$ . In particular, this is the case, if  $F$  is a formally real closed or a local field (see [L1, Ch. XI, Theorem 1] and [Se3, Ch. XIII, Sect. 3]). Other examples of quasilocal fields can be found, for instance, in [Se3; Ch2,3,7] and Section 8.

## 2. A necessary condition for stability of Henselian valued fields

Let  $K$  be a field with a nontrivial Krull valuation  $v$ ,  $O_K$  the valuation ring,  $\widehat{K}$  the residue field and  $v(K)$  the value group of  $(K, v)$ . We say that  $v$  is Henselian, if any of the following three conditions holds (see [R; Er] or [W], for a proof of their equivalence):

- (2.1) (i) For every monic polynomial  $f \in O_K[X]$  whose image  $\hat{f} \in \widehat{K}[X]$  (under the natural ring homomorphism  $O_K[X] \rightarrow \widehat{K}[X]$ , mapping  $O_K$  canonically on  $\widehat{K}$ ) has a simple root  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \widehat{K}$ , there is a root  $\alpha \in O_K$  of  $f$  with  $\hat{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}$ ;
- (ii)  $v$  can be extended to a uniquely determined (up-to an equivalence) valuation  $v_{K'}$  on each algebraic extension  $K'$  of  $K$ ;
- (iii)  $v$  is uniquely extendable to a valuation  $v_D$  on each division  $K$ -algebra  $D$  of finite dimension  $[D : K]$ .

It is well-known that  $v$  is Henselian in the following two special cases: (i)  $v$  is real-valued and  $K$  is complete with respect to the topology induced by  $v$ ; (ii)  $K$  is an iterated Laurent formal power series field in  $n \geq 1$  indeterminates and  $v$  is the standard  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -valued valuation of  $K$ . Note also that the fulfillment of conditions (2.1) guarantees that they are satisfied by the prolongation of  $v$  on any algebraic extension of  $K$ .

Assume that  $v$  is Henselian and, with notation being as in (2.1) (iii), let  $\widehat{D}$  and  $v(D)$  be the residue division ring and the value group of  $(D, v_D)$ , respectively. It



is known that  $\widehat{D}$  is a  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra such that  $[\widehat{D}:\widehat{K}] \leq [D:K]$ , and  $v(D)$  is a totally ordered abelian group including  $v(K)$  as a subgroup of index  $e(D/K) \leq [D:K]$ . By the Ostrowski-Draxl theorem [Dr2],  $[D:K]$ ,  $[\widehat{D}:\widehat{K}]$  and  $e(D/K)$  are related as follows:

(2.2)  $[D:K] = [\widehat{D}:\widehat{K}]e(D/K)d(D/K)$ , for some integer  $d(D/K)$  (called a defect of  $D$  over  $K$ ); if  $d(D/K) \neq 1$ , then  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) = q > 0$  and  $d(D/K)$  is a power of  $q$ .

This, combined with (1.1) and [TY, Theorem 4.1], leads to a complete description of the relations between Schur indices and defects of central division algebras over Henselian valued fields. The division  $K$ -algebra  $D$  is said to be defectless (with respect to  $v$ ) if  $d(D/K) = 1$ , and it is called inertial if  $[D:K] = [\widehat{D}:\widehat{K}]$  and the centre  $Z(\widehat{D})$  of  $\widehat{D}$  is a separable extension of  $\widehat{K}$ . By Theorem 2.8 (a) of [JW], for every finite dimensional division  $\widehat{K}$ -algebra  $\widetilde{S}$  with  $Z(\widetilde{S})$  separable over  $\widehat{K}$ , there exists an inertial division  $K$ -algebra  $S$  such that  $\widehat{S}$  is  $\widehat{K}$ -isomorphic to  $\widetilde{S}$ . This algebra is uniquely determined by  $\widetilde{S}$ , up- to a  $K$ -isomorphism (and is called an inertial lift of  $\widetilde{S}$  over  $K$ ). We refer the reader to [JW], for a systematic presentation of inertial, totally ramified, nicely semiramified and other types of defectless central division  $K$ -algebras.

The starting point for our further considerations is the following necessary condition for stability of Henselian valued fields; its proof has been suggested by the referee and is considerably shorter than the one in the first version of the paper.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a stable field with a Henselian valuation  $v$ . Then the residue field  $\widehat{K}$  of  $(K, v)$  is also stable. Moreover, if  $v(K)$  is  $p$ -indivisible, for some prime number  $p$ , and if  $\widetilde{S} \in d(\widehat{K})$  is an algebra of  $p$ -primary dimension, then every cyclic extension of  $\widehat{K}$  of degree dividing  $\text{ind}(\widetilde{S})$  is embeddable in  $\widetilde{S}$  as a  $\widehat{K}$ -subalgebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $i(K) = \{\Delta \in d(K) : \Delta \text{ is inertial over } K\}$ . By [JW, Theorem 2.8 (b)], the set  $\text{IBr}(K) = \{[\Delta] : \Delta \in i(K)\}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Br}(K)$  and the mapping  $\pi : i(K) \rightarrow d(\widehat{K})$  by the formula  $\pi(S) = \widehat{S} : S \in i(K)$ , induces a group isomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \text{IBr}(K) \cong \text{Br}(\widehat{K})$ . In particular,  $\pi$  preserves indices and exponents, so  $\widehat{K}$  inherits the stability of  $K$ .

Suppose now that  $pv(K) \neq v(K)$ , for some prime  $p$ . Fix an algebra  $\widetilde{S} \in d(\widehat{K})$  of  $p$ -primary index as well as a cyclic extension  $\widetilde{L}$  of  $\widehat{K}$  of degree  $n$  dividing  $\text{ind}(\widetilde{S})$ , and denote by  $S$  and  $L$  the inertial lifts over  $K$  of  $\widetilde{S}$  and  $\widetilde{L}$ , respectively. So,  $S \in d(K)$ , and  $\text{ind}(\widetilde{S}) = \text{ind}(S) = \exp(S) = \exp(\widetilde{S})$ , as  $K$  is stable. Note that  $L/K$  is cyclic (see [JW, page 135]), and for  $b \in K^*$  with  $v(b) \notin pv(K)$ , let  $V = (L/K, \sigma, b)$ , where  $\sigma$  is any generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/K)$ . The

choice of  $b$  guarantees that the image of  $v(b)$  in  $v(K)/nv(K)$  has order  $n$ , so [JW, Exercise 4.3] shows that  $V$  is a nicely semiramified division  $K$ -algebra with  $v(V)/v(K)$  cyclic of order  $n$  and  $\widehat{V} = \widetilde{L}$ . Since  $S$  is inertial, [JW, Theorem 5.15 (a)] and the noted property of  $V$  yield that for the underlying division algebra  $D$  of  $S \otimes_K V$ , we have  $\exp(D) = \text{l.c.m.}(\exp(\widetilde{S}), \exp(v(V)/v(K))) = \exp(\widetilde{S})$  and  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(\widetilde{S} \otimes_{\widehat{K}} \widetilde{L}) \cdot n$ . As the stability of  $K$  requires that  $\text{ind}(D) = \exp(D)$ , one obtains from these results that  $\text{ind}(\widetilde{S}) = \exp(\widetilde{S}) = \text{ind}(\widetilde{S} \otimes_{\widehat{K}} \widetilde{L}) \cdot n$ . Hence, by (1.2) (ii),  $\widetilde{L}$  embeds in  $\widetilde{S}$ , as desired.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1, if  $v(K)$  is totally indivisible, then every cyclic extension  $\widetilde{L}$  of  $\widehat{K}$  embeds  $\widehat{K}$ -isomorphically in each algebra  $\widetilde{D} \in d(\widehat{K})$  of index divisible by  $[\widetilde{L}:\widehat{K}]$ .*

*Proof.* This can be deduced from (1.1), (1.2) and Proposition 2.1, since  $\widetilde{L}$  is presentable as a tensor product over  $\widehat{K}$  of cyclic extensions of  $\widehat{K}$  of primary degrees (see [P, Sect. 15.3]).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Quasilocal fields are absolutely stable. Residue fields of Henselian valued absolutely stable fields with totally indivisible value groups are quasilocal and almost perfect.*

*Proof.* Note first that if  $(K, v)$  is a Henselian valued field and  $\widetilde{L}/\widehat{K}$  is a finite extension, then there exists an extension  $L/K$  such that  $[L:K] = [\widetilde{L}:\widehat{K}]$ ,  $v_L(L) = v(K)$  and the residue field of  $(L, v_L)$  is  $\widehat{K}$ -isomorphic to  $\widetilde{L}$  (see (2.1), (2.2) and [L1, Ch. VII, Sects. 4 and 7]). Therefore, our second assertion follows from Corollary 2.2 and [Ch1, Corollary 2.6 (iii)]. Let now  $E$  be a quasilocal field and let  $\Delta \in d(E)$  be of prime exponent  $p$ . Since finite extensions of  $E$  are quasilocal, it suffices for the proof of the absolute stability of  $E$  to show that  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p$  (see (1.1) and [A1, Ch. XI, Theorem 3]). Suppose first that  $E$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity or  $\text{char}(E) = p$ . By the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem and Albert's theory of  $p$ -algebras (cf. [MS, (16.1)] and [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 28]), then  $\Delta$  is similar to a tensor product of cyclic division  $E$ -algebras of index  $p$ . Therefore,  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  and  $\Delta/E$  is split by any cyclic extension of  $E$  of degree  $p$ , which enables one to deduce the equality  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p$  from (1.1) and (1.2). Assume now that  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ ,  $E'$  is an extension of  $E$  obtained by adjunction of a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, and  $\Delta' = \Delta \otimes_E E'$ . It is known that  $[E':E]$  divides  $p-1$  (cf. [L1, Ch. VIII, Sect. 3]); hence, by (1.2),  $\Delta' \in d(E')$ ,  $\text{ind}(\Delta') = \text{ind}(\Delta)$  and  $\exp(\Delta') = p$ . As  $E'$  is quasilocal, this yields  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p$ .

It would be of interest to know whether a Henselian discrete valued field  $(K, v)$  is absolutely stable when  $\widehat{K}$  is quasilocal and almost perfect (see [Ch1, Corollary 4.6]).

### 3. Central division algebras of $p$ -primary dimensions and the $p$ -component of the Brauer group in the case of a $p$ -indivisible value group

The main result of this Section sheds light on the nature of the stability property of residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields with  $p$ -indivisible value groups, and on the structure of the  $p$ -components of their Brauer groups.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a  $p$ -quasilocal field such that  $\text{Br}(E)_p \neq \{0\}$ , for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . Assume also that  $R$  is a cyclic extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$ , and  $D \in d(E)$  is an algebra of  $p$ -primary index. Then  $E$ ,  $R$  and  $D$  have the following properties:*

- (i)  $D$  is a cyclic  $E$ -algebra and  $\text{ind}(D) = \exp(D)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  is a divisible group unless  $p = 2$  and  $E$  is formally real;
- (iii) If  $[R:E] = \text{ind}(D)$ , then  $R$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra;
- (iv) If  $[R:E] \leq \text{ind}(D)$ , then  $\exp(D \otimes_E R) = \exp(D)/[R:E]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $[R:E] = \exp(D) = p^n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , fix a generator  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{G}(R/E)$ , and denote by  $R'$  the (unique) extension of  $E$  in  $R$  of degree  $p^{n-1}$ . We show that  $\text{ind}(D) = p^n$  and  $R$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra. In view of (1.1) and (1.2) (ii), this amounts to proving that  $D$  is split by  $R$ , i.e.  $[D] \in \text{Br}(R/E)$ . Note first that the underlying division  $E$ -algebra  $D'$  of the  $p$ -th tensor power of  $D$  over  $E$  has exponent  $p^{n-1}$ , and  $R'/E$  is cyclic with  $\mathcal{G}(R'/E)$  generated by the automorphism  $\sigma'$  of  $R'$  induced by  $\sigma$ . Also, if  $n = 1$ , then  $D$  is similar to a tensor product of algebras in  $d(E)$  of index  $p$  (cf. [M, Sect. 4, Theorem 2]); hence, by (1.2) and the  $p$ -quasilocal property of  $E$ ,  $[D] \in \text{Br}(R/E)$ . Proceeding by induction on  $n$ , one may assume further that  $n \geq 2$  and  $[D'] \in \text{Br}(R'/E)$ . Now, by (1.2) and (1.4), there is an  $E$ -isomorphism  $D' \cong (R'/E, \sigma', \lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in E^*$ , and by [P, Sect. 15.1, Corollary b],  $D'$  is similar to the  $p$ -th tensor power of the  $E$ -algebra  $(R/E, \sigma, \lambda)$ . In view of (1.4) (ii), this means that  $D \otimes_E (R/E, \sigma, \lambda^{-1})$  is of exponent  $p$  (in  $s(E)$ ); hence,  $[D \otimes_E (R/E, \sigma, \lambda^{-1})]$  and  $[D]$  lie in  $\text{Br}(R/E)$ , which proves our assertion.

Suppose now that  $[R:E] = p^n \leq \exp(D)$ , put  $\nu = \exp(D)/p^n$  and denote by  $D_0$  the underlying division  $E$ -algebra of the  $\nu$ -th tensor power of  $D$  (over  $E$ ). It is easily verified that  $\exp(D_0) = p^n$  and by the first part of our proof,  $R$  splits  $D_0$ . This, combined with (1.1) (i) and (1.2) (ii), proves Theorem 3.1 (iv) and shows that  $\text{Br}(R/E) = \{b \in \text{Br}(E) : p^n b = 0\}$ . More precisely, arguing as above, one obtains from [P, Sect. 15.1, Corollary b] and (1.4) (ii) that  $\text{Br}(R/E)$  coincides with the union of its cyclic subgroups of order  $p^n$ . Now the remaining assertions of Theorem 3.1 can be deduced from the following statements:

(3.1) Let  $E$  be a field and  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . Then:

- (i) For each  $\Delta \in d(E)$  of  $p$ -primary index, there exists a cyclic extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree equal to  $\exp(\Delta)$ ;
- (ii) If  $p > 2$  or  $E$  is nonreal, then  $E(p)$  contains as a subfield a cyclic extension of  $E$  of degree  $p^m$ , for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Statements (3.1) are obtained as consequences of the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be a field and  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . Then  $E(p)$  possesses a subfield that is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $E$  unless  $p = 2$  and  $E$  is Pythagorean.*

For a proof of Lemma 3.2, we refer the reader to [Wh, Theorem 2]. Our next lemma is also known but its proof is included here because of its brevity and simplicity.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Pythagorean field. Then  $\text{Br}(E)_2$  is of exponent 2.*

*Proof.* As  $E$  is formally real, the equation  $X^2 + Y^2 = -1$  has no solution in  $E$ , which means that  $-1 \notin N(E(\sqrt{-1})/E)$ . Therefore, by (1.4) (ii),  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; E) \in d(E)$ , whence  $\text{Br}(E)_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $E$  is Pythagorean, and by [MS, (16.6)], central division algebras of exponent 4 are similar to tensor products of cyclic algebras, it follows from (1.4) (ii) and (1.7) that  $\text{Br}(E)_2$  does not contain elements of order 4. Thus Lemma 3.3 is proved.

**Remark 3.4.** (i) Lemma 3.3 is a part of known characterizations of fields  $E$  with  $\text{Br}(E)_2$  of exponent 2 (see, for instance, [Ef, Theorem 3.1]). The availability of this property implies that  $E$  is formally real (not necessarily Pythagorean, e.g. the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers in  $\mathbb{Q}(2)$ ) and its totally positive elements are presentable as sums of two squares over  $E$ .

(ii) Note that if  $E$  is a field with  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  a pro- $p$ -group of rank 1 and order  $\geq 3$ , for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ , then  $E(p)/E$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension. Indeed, by Galois theory,  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  has a unique open subgroup of index  $p$ . Therefore, finite extensions of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  are cyclic, so our assertion reduces to a consequence of Lemma 3.2 and statement (1.7).

Theorem 3.1 (ii) is supplemented by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *A formally real field  $E$  is 2-quasiloca1 if and only if  $[E(2):E] = 2$ ; when this is the case,  $E$  is Pythagorean and  $\text{Br}(E)_2$  is of order 2.*

*Proof.* Evidently, if  $[E(2):E] = 2$ , then  $(\Delta \otimes_E \Delta') \notin d(E)$ , for any  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta' \in d(E)$  with  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = \text{ind}(\Delta') = 2$ ; also,  $E$  is Pythagorean, by (1.7). This, combined with [MS, (16.1)], (1.4) (ii) and Lemma 3.3, implies that  $\text{Br}(E)_2 = \langle [A_{-1}(-1, -1; E)] \rangle \neq \{0\}$ . Thus the latter part and the sufficiency in the former part of the lemma are proved. Suppose now that  $E$  is 2-quasiloca1 and put  $B_1 = B(\sqrt{-1})$  (where  $\sqrt{-1} \in E(2)$ ), for each formally real extension  $B$  of  $E$  in  $E(2)$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.3,

one obtains that  $[B_1:B] = 2$  and  $-1 \notin N(B_1/B)$ . Hence,  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; B) \in d(B)$ , and by Albert's theorem (cf. [A2, Ch. IX, Sect. 6]),  $B_1$  is not included in any cyclic quartic extension of  $E$ . Note also that  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; E') \notin d(E')$ , for any quadratic extension  $E'/E$ . Since  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; E')$  is  $E'$ -isomorphic to  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; E) \otimes_E E'$ , this is implied by (1.2) (ii) and the embeddability of  $E'$  in  $A_{-1}(-1, -1; E)$  over  $E$ . Therefore,  $E'$  is a nonreal field, so it follows from the Artin-Schreier theory (see [L1, Ch. XI, Proposition 2]) that  $E^* = E^{*2} \cup -E^{*2}$  (where  $-E^{*2} = \{-\lambda^2: \lambda \in E^*\}$ ). As  $E$  is formally real, this proves that  $E$  is Pythagorean and  $E_1$  is its unique quadratic extension in  $E(2)$ . Hence, by Galois theory, finite proper extensions of  $E$  in  $E(2)$  are cyclic and include  $E_1$  (see Remark 3.4 (ii)). Summing up the obtained results, one concludes that  $E(2) = E_1$ , which completes our proof.

The application of Lemma 3.5 offers no difficulty because a Henselian valued field is formally real if and only if its residue field is of the same kind (cf. [La, Theorem 3.16]).

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(K, v)$  be a Henselian valued stable field with  $v(K)$   $p$ -indivisible, for some prime  $p$ . Then  $Br(\widehat{K})_p$  is divisible unless  $p = 2$  and  $\widehat{K}$  is formally real.*

*Proof.* If  $p = \text{char}(\widehat{K})$  or  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\widehat{K})$ , this can be deduced from Witt's theorem (cf. [Dr1, Sect. 15]), and from Proposition 2.1, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, respectively. Also, it is known that if  $\widehat{K}(2) = \widehat{K}$ , then  $Br(\widehat{K})_2 = \{0\}$  (see [MS, (16.1)] and [A1, Ch. VII, Sect. 9]). Suppose further that  $p \notin \mathcal{P}(\widehat{K})$ ,  $p \geq 3$  and  $p \neq \text{char}(\widehat{K})$ , denote by  $\widehat{K}_0$  the prime subfield of  $\widehat{K}$ , and let  $\widehat{K}_1$  be the extension of  $\widehat{K}_0$  generated by the roots of unity in  $\widehat{K}_{\text{sep}}$  of  $p$ -primary degrees. It is well-known that  $\widehat{K}_1 = \widehat{K}_p(\varepsilon)$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity and  $\widehat{K}_p$  is the unique  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\widehat{K}_0$  in  $\widehat{K}_{\text{sep}}$ . At the same time, it is clear from Galois theory (cf. [Ko, Proposition 2.11]) and the assumptions on  $p$  that  $\widehat{K}_p \subseteq \widehat{K}$ , which implies that  $\widehat{K}(\varepsilon) = \widehat{K}\widehat{K}_1$ , i.e.  $\widehat{K}(\varepsilon)$  contains primitive  $p^n$ -th roots of unity, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This ensures that  $Br(\widehat{K}(\varepsilon))_p$  is divisible (see [MS, (16.1)] and [P, Sect. 15.1, Corollary b]). Since  $[\widehat{K}(\varepsilon):\widehat{K}]$  divides  $p - 1$ , one also concludes that the composition  $\text{cor}_{\widehat{K}(\varepsilon)/\widehat{K}} \circ \pi_{\widehat{K}/\widehat{K}(\varepsilon)}$  induces an automorphism of  $Br(\widehat{K})_p$  (cf. [T, Theorem 2.5]). Now the divisibility of  $Br(\widehat{K})_p$  is obtained in the same way as the proof of [FSS, Proposition 2], so Corollary 3.6 is proved.

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $T$  be an abelian torsion group with divisible  $p$ -components, for all prime numbers  $p > 2$ . It has been proved in [Ch7] and [Ch8] that if the 2-component of  $T$  is divisible or of order 2, then  $T$  is isomorphic to the Brauer group of the residue field of some stable field  $F(T)$  with a Henselian discrete valuation (and in the former case,  $F(T)$  can be chosen from the class of absolutely stable fields). As

the structure of divisible abelian groups is known (cf. [F, Theorem 23.1]), Corollary 3.6, Lemma 3.5 and this result fully describe the abelian torsion groups realizable as Brauer groups of residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields with totally indivisible value groups.

Lemma 3.5 and our next lemma provide a Galois-theoretic characterization of the  $p$ -quasilocal property in the class of fields with primitive  $p$ -th roots of unity:

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $E$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . Then  $E$  is nonreal and  $p$ -quasilocal if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type.*

*Proof.* As noted, for example, in [Wa2], it follows from Galois cohomology that there is a group isomorphism  $\kappa: {}_p\text{Br}(E) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p)$ , such that the diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E^*/E^{*p} \times E^*/E^{*p} & \xrightarrow{\text{Symb}} & {}_p\text{Br}(E) \\ \downarrow \mu \times \mu & & \downarrow \kappa \\ H^1(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p) \times H^1(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^2(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $E^{*p} = \{e^p: e \in E^*\}$ ,  $\mu$  is the Kummer isomorphism of  $E^*/E^{*p}$  on  $H^1(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $\cup$  is the cup-product mapping and Symb maps  $(\alpha E^{*p}, \beta E^{*p})$  into  $[A_\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta; E)]$ , for every pair of elements  $\alpha, \beta \in E^*$ . This, combined with [MS, (16.1)] and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5, proves Lemma 3.8.

**Remark 3.9.** Let  $P$  be a nontrivial pro- $p$ -group, for some prime number  $p$ :

- (i) It is known that  $P$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type of degree zero if and only if it is a free pro- $p$ -group. When this is the case,  $P$  is determined, up-to an isomorphism, by its rank (cf. [Se1, Ch. I, 4.2]), and is realizable as an absolute Galois group of a field of any prescribed characteristic [LvdD, (4.8)] (see also [Ch2, Remark 2.6]);
- (ii) We say that  $P$  is a Demushkin group, if it is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type of degree one. The classification, up-to isomorphisms, of the pro- $p$ -groups of this kind and of finite or countable rank is known (see [D1,2; Lab1,2] and [Se2]). We refer the reader to [MW1,2], for a similar description of Demushkin groups of countable ranks, which are realizable as Galois groups of maximal  $p$ -extensions.

#### 4. The main result

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that  $E$  is a  $p$ -quasilocal field with respect to a prime number  $p$ ,  $R$  is an extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$ , and  $D \in d(E)$  is an algebra of  $p$ -primary index. Then:*

- (i) *The homomorphism  $\pi_{E/R}$  maps  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  surjectively on  $\text{Br}(R)_p$ ;*

- (ii)  $R$  is a  $p$ -quasilocal field;
- (iii)  $R$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra if and only if  $[R:E]$  divides  $\text{ind}(D)$ ;  $R$  is a splitting field of  $D$  if and only if  $[R:E]$  is infinite or divisible by  $\text{ind}(D)$ ;
- (iv) If  $[R:E]$  is infinite, then  $\text{Br}(R)_p = \{0\}$ .

Theorem 4.1 is proved in Section 7 on the basis of the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $U$  be a cyclic extension of a field  $E$  in  $E(p)$ , such that  $[U:E] = p^t$ , and suppose that  $U$  splits each  $\Omega \in d(E)$  whose exponent divides  $p^t$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\pi_{E/U}$  maps  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  surjectively on  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  and  $\text{cor}_{U/E}$  maps  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  injectively into  $\text{Br}(E)_p$ ;
- (ii) If  $\text{Br}(E)_p = \{0\}$ , then  $\text{Br}(U)_p = \{0\}$  and  $N(\Phi/U) = U^*$ , for every finite extension  $\Phi$  of  $U$  in  $E(p)$ ;
- (iii) If  $\mu \in U^*$  and  $U_1$  is a cyclic extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$ , such that  $U_1 \cap U = E$ , then  $\mu \in N((U_1 U)/U)$  if and only if  $N_E^U(\mu) \in N(U_1/E)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Recall first that  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  is a module over the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(U/E)]$  with respect to the group operation in  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  and the multiplication from  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(U/E)] \times \text{Br}(U)_p$  into  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  induced canonically by the action of  $\mathcal{G}(U/E)$  on  $U$ . Let  $\psi$  be a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(U/E)$ . By (1.5), the image of  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  under  $\pi_{E/U}$  is the set  $\{\theta \in \text{Br}(U)_p : (\psi - 1)\theta = 0\}$ . Thus, it suffices for our first assertion to prove that  $\psi$  acts trivially on  $\text{Br}(U)_p$ . For this, take any nonzero  $\Delta \in \text{Br}(U)_p$ ; say  $\exp(\Delta) = p^s$ . The set  $p^s \text{Br}(U) = \{\eta \in \text{Br}(U) : p^s \eta = 0\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(U/E)]$ -submodule of  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  and can be viewed as a module over the group ring  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})[\mathcal{G}(U/E)]$ . By Lemma 1.2,  $\psi - 1$  is nilpotent in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})[\mathcal{G}(U/E)]$ , i.e. there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $(\psi - 1)^k \Delta = 0$  in  $\text{Br}(U)_p$ . Take  $k$  minimal with this property. If  $k = 1$ , then  $\psi \Delta = \Delta$ , as desired. So assume  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma = (\psi - 1)^{k-2} \Delta$  (so  $\Gamma = \Delta$  if  $k = 2$ ), and let  $\Lambda = (\psi - 1)\Gamma = (\psi - 1)^{k-1} \Delta \neq 0$ . Because  $(\psi - 1)\Lambda = (\psi - 1)^k \Delta = 0$ , there is  $D \in \text{Br}(E)_p$  with  $\pi_{E/U}(D) = \Lambda$  in  $\text{Br}(U)_p$ . By [T, Theorem 2.5], then, in  $\text{Br}(E)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} p^t D &= \text{cor}_{U/E}(\pi_{E/U}(D)) = \text{cor}_{U/E}(\Lambda) = \\ &= \text{cor}_{U/E}(\psi \Gamma - \Gamma) = \text{cor}_{U/E}(\psi \Gamma) - \text{cor}_{U/E}(\Gamma) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assumption on  $U$  implies that  $\pi_{E/U}(D) = 0$ , i.e.  $\Lambda = (\psi - 1)^{k-1} \Delta = 0$  in  $\text{Br}(U)_p$ , contradicting the minimality of  $k$ . Hence,  $k = 1$ , and the first part of (i) is proved.

For the second assertion, take any  $A$  in  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  with  $\text{cor}_{U/E}(A) = 0$  (in  $\text{Br}(E)$ ). We have just proved that  $A = \pi_{E/U}(B)$ , for some  $B \in \text{Br}(E)_p$ . Hence, by [T, Theorem 2.5],  $p^t B = 0$ , and by hypothesis,  $A = \pi_{E/U}(B) = 0$ , proving the desired injectivity.

(ii) The equality  $\text{Br}(U)_p = \{0\}$  follows from the inclusion  $\text{Br}(U)_p \subseteq \text{Im}(\pi_{E/U})$  and the assumption that  $\text{Br}(E)_p = \{0\}$ . Note also that by Galois theory and the

subnormality of proper subgroups of finite  $p$ -groups (see [L1, Ch. I, Sect. 6; Ch. VIII]), if  $\Phi \neq U$ , then  $U$  has a proper cyclic extension  $\Phi_0$  in  $\Phi$ . Therefore, the statement that  $N(\Phi/U) = U^*$  can be deduced from the triviality of  $\text{Br}(U)_p$  and  $\text{Br}(\Phi_0)_p$  by a standard inductive argument relying upon (1.4) (ii) and the transitivity of norm mappings in towers of finite extensions.

(iii) The condition  $U_1 \cap U = E$  shows that if  $\varphi$  is an  $E$ -automorphism of  $U_1$  of order  $[U_1:E]$ , then it is uniquely extendable to a  $U$ -automorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}$  of  $U_1U$  of the same order. Observing also that  $\text{cor}_{U/E}$  maps  $[((U_1U)/U, \tilde{\varphi}, \mu)]$  into  $[(U_1/E, \varphi, N_E^U(\mu))]$  (e.g. as in the proof of [Ch6, (4.1) (iii)]), one reduces Lemma 4.2 (iii) to a consequence of Lemma 4.2 (i).

We recall that the following lemma is proved in Sections 5 and 6.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $E$  be a  $p$ -quasilocal field with respect to a prime number  $p$ , and let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be different extensions of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree  $p$ . Then  $N(F_1/E)N(F_2/E) = E^*$ .*

Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 enable one not only to establish the main result of this paper but also to take a serious step towards determining and characterizing the basic types of fields whose finite abelian extensions and norm groups are related essentially in the same way as in the classical local class field theory (see [Ch6]). As to our next result, it supplements Theorem 4.1 by showing that the class of  $p$ -quasilocal fields is closed under the formation of purely inseparable extensions.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $K/E$  a finite purely inseparable extension and  $p$  a prime number. Then  $K$  is  $p$ -quasilocal if and only if  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal.*

*Proof.* Let  $K_1$  be an extension of  $K$  in  $K_{\text{sep}}$  and  $E_1$  the separable closure of  $E$  in  $K_1$ . Then  $E_1K = K_1$  and  $E_1 \cap K = E$  (see [L1, Ch. VII, Sects. 4 and 7]). Hence, by Galois theory and [P, Sect. 9.2, Proposition c],  $K_1$  and  $E_1 \otimes_E K$  are  $K$ -isomorphic. Note further that  $K_1/K$  is Galois if and only if  $E_1/E$  is of the same kind; such being the case, the Galois groups  $\mathcal{G}(E_1/E)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(K_1/K)$  are isomorphic (cf. [L1, Ch. VIII, Theorem 4] and [Ko, Ch. 2]). It follows from (1.1), (1.2) and the equality  $K_1 = E_1K$  that if  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ ,  $K_1/K$  is a finite  $p$ -extension,  $T \in d(E)$  and  $[T] \in \text{Br}(E)_p$ , then  $K_1$  embeds in  $T \otimes_E K$  as a  $K$ -subalgebra if and only if  $E_1$  embeds in  $T$  over  $E$ . Observing also that  $\pi_{E/K}$  is surjective (by the Albert-Hochschild theorem, see [Dr1, page 110] or [Se1, Ch. II, 2.2]), one concludes that it induces an isomorphism  $\text{Br}(E)_p \cong \text{Br}(K)_p$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 4.4 in the case of  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ . Henceforth, we assume that  $p = \text{char}(E)$  and  $K_1/K$  is cyclic of degree  $p$ . Then  $[K:E]$  is a power of  $p$  and one may consider only the special case where  $[K:E] = p$  (cf. [L1, Ch. VII, Sect. 7]). Our argument also relies



on the existence (see [Dr1, Sect. 15, Lemma 2]) of a cyclic extension  $K_2$  of  $K$  in  $K(p)$ , such that  $[K_2:K] = p^2$  and  $K_1 \subset K_2$ . Fix a generator  $\tau_2$  of  $\mathcal{G}(K_2/K)$ , put  $E_2 = K_2 \cap E(p)$  and let  $\tau_1$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be the automorphisms induced by  $\tau_2$  on  $K_1$ ,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively. We show that Proposition 4.4 can be deduced from the following statements:

- (4.1) (i) If  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal,  $D \in d(K)$  and  $\text{ind}(D) = p$ , then  $D$  is similar to the  $K$ -algebra  $(K_2/K, \tau_2, d)$ , for some  $d \in K^*$ ;
- (ii) If  $K$  is  $p$ -quasilocal,  $\Delta \in d(E)$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p$ , then  $\Delta$  is similar over  $E$  to  $(E_2/E, \sigma_2, \delta)$ , for some  $\delta \in E^*$ ;
- (iii) If  $E$  or  $K$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then  $N(E_2/E_1)$  and  $N(K_2/K_1)$  include the sets  $\{\sigma_1(\alpha)\alpha^{-1} : \alpha \in E_1^*\}$  and  $\{\tau_1(\beta)\beta^{-1} : \beta \in K_1^*\}$ , respectively.

Suppose first that  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal. Then it follows from (1.4) (ii) and (4.1) (i) that  $d^p \in N(K_2/K)$ , and by Hilbert's Theorem 90, this means that  $d\tau_1(\alpha_1)\alpha_1^{-1} \in N(K_2/K_1)$ , for some  $\alpha_1 \in K_1^*$ . Applying now (4.1) (iii), one concludes that  $d \in N(K_2/K_1)$ . The obtained result is equivalent to the embeddability of  $K_1$  in  $D$  as a  $K$ -subalgebra, since  $(K_2/K, \tau_2, d) \otimes_K K_1$  is similar over  $K_1$  to the centralizer of  $K_1$  in  $(K_2/K, \tau_2, d)$ , i.e. to  $(K_2/K_1, \tau_2^p, d)$  (cf. [P, Sect. 13.3]). This proves that  $K$  is  $p$ -quasilocal. The converse implication of Proposition 4.4 is deduced from (4.1) (ii)-(iii) in much the same way, so we omit the details.

We turn to the proof of (4.1). Denote by  $\pi_{F/\tilde{F},p}$  the scalar extension map of  $\text{Br}(F)_p$  into  $\text{Br}(\tilde{F})_p$ , for each pair  $(F, \tilde{F})$  of intermediate fields of  $K(p)/E$  satisfying the inclusion  $F \subseteq \tilde{F}$ . It is not difficult to see from (1.4), (1.5) and Lemma 4.2 (i) that (4.1) (iii) will be proved, if we show that  $\pi_{E/E_1,p}$  is surjective if and only if so is  $\pi_{K/K_1,p}$ . Our proof of this equivalence relies on the fact that  $\pi_{E/K_1,p}$  equals the compositions  $\pi_{E_1/K_1,p} \circ \pi_{E/E_1,p}$  and  $\pi_{K/K_1,p} \circ \pi_{E/K,p}$  (see [P, Sect. 9.4, Corollary a]). Since  $K/E$  and  $K_1/E_1$  are purely inseparable,  $\pi_{E/K,p}$  and  $\pi_{E_1/K_1,p}$  are surjective, so one obtains consecutively that if  $\pi_{E/E_1,p}$  is surjective, then the same applies to  $\pi_{E/K_1,p}$  and  $\pi_{K/K_1,p}$ . Conversely, let  $\pi_{K/K_1,p}$  be surjective. Then  $\pi_{E/K_1,p}$  is surjective, which implies that  $\text{Br}(E_1)_p$  is presentable as a sum of the subgroups  $\text{Im}(\pi_{E/E_1,p})$  and  $\text{Br}(K_1/E_1)$ . Since  $\text{Br}(E_1)_p$  and  $\text{Im}(\pi_{E/E_1,p})$  are divisible and  $\text{Br}(K_1/E_1)$  is of exponent dividing  $[K_1:E_1] = [K:E]$ , the obtained result proves the surjectivity of  $\pi_{E/E_1,p}$  (and the validity of (4.1) (iii)).

For the rest of the proof, note that if  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal and  $D_1 \in d(E)$  is chosen so that  $\pi_{E/K}([D_1]) = [D]$ , then  $\exp(D_1)$  divides  $p \cdot [K:E] = p^2 = [E_2:E]$  (apply (1.1) (i) and (1.2) (ii)). Hence, by Theorem 4.1 (iii),  $D_1$  is split by  $E_2$ , which allows one to deduce (4.1) (i) from (1.4) and the existence of a  $K$ -isomorphism  $K_2 \cong E_2 \otimes_E K$ .

Let now  $K$  be  $p$ -quasilocal. Then  $K_2$  splits all algebras in  $s(K)$  of exponents dividing  $p^2$ . In particular, this applies to the  $K$ -algebra  $\Theta \otimes_E K$  whenever  $\Theta \in d(E)$  and  $\exp(\Theta) = p^2$ . Since  $\text{Br}(E)_p$  is divisible,  $\pi_{E/K_2, p} = \pi_{E_2/K_2, p} \circ \pi_{E/E_2, p}$  and  $[K_2:E_2] = [K:E] = p$ , this implies that  ${}_p\text{Br}(E) \subseteq \text{Br}(E_2/E)$  and so completes the proof of (4.1) (ii) and Proposition 4.4.

Note that Theorem 3.1 enables one to verify more easily whether a given Henselian valued field is stable. This can be illustrated by the following result:

**Proposition 4.5.** *Assume that  $(K, v)$  is a Henselian valued field such that  $v(K)$  is totally indivisible,  $\text{char}(\widehat{K}) = q \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\widehat{K})$  contains every prime  $p$  for which  $\text{Br}(\widehat{K})_p \neq \{0\}$ . Suppose also that if  $\text{Br}(K)_{p'} \neq \{0\}$ , for some prime  $p'$ , then  ${}_{p'}\text{Br}(K)$  coincides with the set  $\{[D_{p'}]: D_{p'} \in d(K), \text{ind}(D_{p'}) = p'\}$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\text{ind}(D) = \exp(D)$ , for every  $D \in d(K)$  with  $[D:K]$  not divisible by  $\text{char}(\widehat{K})$ ;
- (ii)  $K$  is stable in each of the following three special cases:
  - ( $\alpha$ )  $K$  is almost perfect and  $\text{char}(K) = q$ ;
  - ( $\beta$ )  $\widehat{K}$  is perfect,  $q > 0$ , the group  $v(K)/qv(K)$  is of order  $q$  and  $d(K)$  is included in the class of defectless division  $K$ -algebras;
  - ( $\gamma$ )  $K$  contains a primitive  $q$ -th root of unity and  $v(K)/qv(K)$  is of order  $q^3$ .

It is not known whether there exists a field  $E$  and a prime  $p \notin \mathcal{P}(E)$ , for which  $\text{Br}(E)_p \neq \{0\}$ . In view of the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem and [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 28], this is impossible, if  $E$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity or  $\text{char}(E) = p$ .

*Proof of Proposition 4.5.* Let  $\tilde{\Delta} \in d(\widehat{K})$  be of prime index  $p$ , and suppose that  $\tilde{L}/\widehat{K}$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $p$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $L$  are inertial lifts over  $K$  of  $\tilde{\Delta}$  and  $\tilde{L}$ , respectively,  $\sigma$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/K)$  (see [JW, page 135]), and  $\pi$  is an element of  $K^*$  of value  $v(\pi) \notin pv(K)$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, one deduces from [JW, Theorem 5.15 (a)] that if  $\tilde{L}$  does not embed in  $\tilde{\Delta}$  as a  $\widehat{K}$ -subalgebra, then  $\Delta \otimes_K (L/K, \sigma, \pi)$  must lie in  $d(K)$  and have exponent  $p$  and index  $p^2$ . This contradicts the assumptions of Proposition 4.5 and so proves that  $\widehat{K}$  is  $p$ -quasilocal. Hence, by Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and the condition on  $\mathcal{P}(\widehat{K})$ ,  $\widehat{K}$  is stable and its cyclic extensions have the property required by Corollary 2.2. Observe also that our assumptions rule out the existence in  $d(K)$  of a tensor product of a pair of cyclic division  $K$ -algebras of any prime index  $p'$ . As shown in [Ch1, Sect. 2], this means that  $K, \widehat{K}, \widehat{K}(p'), v(K)$  and  $v(K)/p'v(K)$  are related as in [Ch1, Theorem 2.1]. Therefore, our proof can be completed by applying [Ch1, Theorem 3.1].

We conclude this Section with essentially an equivalent form of Theorem 4.1 for nonreal fields containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. It partially generalizes Theorem 2 of [Lab1]:

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $E$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, for some prime  $p$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  be a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type of degree  $d$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  has the following properties:*

- (i) *Every open subgroup  $U$  of  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type of degree  $d$ ; the corestriction mapping of  $H^2(U, \mathbb{F}_p)$  into  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E), \mathbb{F}_p)$  is an isomorphism;*
- (ii) *Nontrivial closed subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  of infinite indices are free pro- $p$ -groups.*

*Proof.* Since  $E(p) = E'(p)$ , for every extension  $E'$  of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  (cf. [Ko, the beginning of Ch. 9]), this can be deduced from Theorem 4.1, Lemmas 3.8 and 4.2 (i), diagram (3.2) and [Se1, Ch. I, Proposition 21].

**Remark 4.7.** It is likely that Proposition 4.6 (i) remains valid for a large class of pro- $p$ -groups, including Poincaré groups of arbitrary dimensions and  $p$ -groups of Demushkin type (see, for example, [Se1, Ch. I, 4.5]).

It is easily seen that the degree of any  $p$ -group of Demushkin type is at most equal to its rank as a pro- $p$ -group. Note also that if  $F$  is a field with a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity and  $\mathcal{G}(F(p)/F)$  of Demushkin type of degree  $\geq 2$ , then the rank of  $\mathcal{G}(F(p)/F)$  is infinite [Ch6, Corollary 4.6]. It is therefore worth mentioning (for a proof, see [Ch8]) that if  $E_0$  is an infinite field of cardinality  $d$  and characteristic  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_q$  is the set of prime numbers different from  $q$ , and  $c_p, d_p: p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ , is a system of cardinal numbers such that  $c_p \leq d_p \leq d$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ , then there exists an extension  $E$  of  $E_0$  with the following properties:

(4.2)  $E_0$  is algebraically closed in  $E$ , and for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$  and the Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  are of rank  $d$  and Demushkin type of degrees  $c_p$  and  $d_p$ , respectively. Moreover,  $E$  can be chosen so that finite groups are realizable as Galois groups over  $E$  (and  $E$  does not admit Henselian valuations with indivisible value groups, cf. [Ch6, (2.3)]).

This, applied to the special case where  $q = 0$ ,  $d = \aleph_0$  and  $c_p = d_p = 1$ , for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ , enables one to deduce from the main results of [MW1,2] that each sequence  $G_p: p \in \mathcal{P}_q$ , of Demushkin groups of countable rank and  $s$ -invariant zero is realizable as a sequence of Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroups of the absolute Galois group of some field.

## 5. An equivalent form of the main lemma

Our aim in this Section is to find an equivalent form of Lemma 4.3. This form is of independent interest and serves as a basis for the proof of the lemma, presented in

Section 6. The main result of this Section is known in the special case of  $p = 2$  and a ground field of characteristic different from 2 (see Exercise 4.4 at the end of [CF]).

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $L$  an extension of  $E$  of degree  $p^2$ , for some prime  $p$ , and  $I(L/E)$  the set of intermediate fields of  $L/E$ . Then  $L/E$  is noncyclic and Galois if and only if it satisfies any of the following equivalent conditions:*

- (i)  $L$  is a compositum of two different cyclic extensions of  $E$  of degree  $p$ ;
- (ii)  $I(L/E) = \{L, E, E_j : j = 1, \dots, p+1\}$ , where  $E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  are (pairwise distinct) cyclic extensions of  $E$  of degree  $p$ .

*Proof.* This follows at once from Galois theory and the well-known fact that noncyclic groups of order  $p^2$  are elementary abelian with exactly  $p+1$  subgroups of order  $p$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $E$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity  $\varepsilon$ ,  $L/E$  a noncyclic Galois extension of degree  $p^2$ , and  $(F_1, F_2)$  a pair of different extensions of  $E$  in  $L$  of degree  $p$ . Then  $F_i = E(\xi_i)$ , where  $\xi_i$  is a  $p$ -th root of an element  $a_i \in E^*$ , for each index  $i$ . Moreover,*

- (i) *The multiplicative group  $F_i^{*p} \cap E$  equals the union  $\bigcup_{l=0}^{p-1} a_i^l \cdot E^{*p}$ , and  $L^{*p} \cap E = (F_1^{*p} \cap E) \cdot (F_2^{*p} \cap E)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $p > 2$ , then  $N_E^{F_i}(\xi_i) = a_i$  and  $F_i^{*p} \cap E$  is a subgroup of  $N(F_i/E)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore, one of the following conditions holds:*
  - (a)  $(L^{*p} \cap N(F_1/E)) = (F_1^{*p} \cap E)$ ; *this occurs if and only if  $(L^{*p} \cap N(F_2/E)) = (F_2^{*p} \cap E)$ ;*
  - (b)  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(F_1/E)$ ; *this is the case if and only if  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(F_2/E)$ .*

*Proof.* The existence of  $a_i, \xi_i$ :  $i = 1, 2$ , and statement (i) follow at once from Kummer theory, and the former part of (ii) is implied by the definition of the norm mapping. In view of (1.4) (ii), the obtained results indicate that  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(F_1/E)$  if and only if  $A_\varepsilon(a_1, a_2; E) \notin d(E)$ . Similarly, we have  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(F_2/E)$  if and only if  $A_\varepsilon(a_2, a_1; E) \notin d(E)$ . Since  $A_\varepsilon(a_1, a_2; E)$  and  $A_\varepsilon(a_2, a_1; E)$  are inversely isomorphic over  $E$ , this proves Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $E$  be a field not containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, for some prime  $p$ . Then  $E(p)$  does not contain such a root and  $E(p)^{*p} \cap E = E^{*p}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{char}(E) = p$ , this follows from the fact that the binomial  $X^p - \alpha$  is purely inseparable, for each  $\alpha \in E$ . Assuming that  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$  and  $\alpha \in E^* \setminus E^{*p}$ , one obtains that  $X^p - \alpha$  is irreducible over  $E$  and its root field, say  $F_\alpha$ , contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity  $\varepsilon$ . As  $[E(\varepsilon):E]$  divides  $p-1$  and  $\varepsilon \notin E$ , this means that the extension of  $E$  generated by a fixed  $p$ -th root of  $\alpha$  in  $F_\alpha$  is not normal. Our conclusion, however, contradicts the normality of the extensions of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree  $p$ , so Lemma 5.3 is proved.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $p$  a prime number,  $L/E$  a noncyclic Galois extension of degree  $p^2$ ,  $E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  the intermediate fields of  $L/E$  of degree  $p$  over  $E$ , and  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p+1}$  generators of  $\mathcal{G}(E_1/E), \dots, \mathcal{G}(E_{p+1}/E)$ , respectively. Then  $N_E^L(\alpha)\alpha^p = \prod_{j=1}^{p+1} N_{E_j}^L(\alpha)$ , for every  $\alpha \in L$ . Furthermore, if  $N_E^L(\alpha) = c^p$ , for some  $c \in E^*$ , then there exist elements  $u_1 \in E_1^*, \dots, u_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}^*$ , such that  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c\sigma_j(u_j)u_j^{-1}$ , for every index  $j$ . In addition,  $\alpha^p = c \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{p+1} (\sigma_j(u_j)u_j^{-1})$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Hilbert's Theorem 90 and the definitions of the considered norm mappings.

From now on we will often use the fact that if  $M/E$  is a Galois extension, then  $M^*$  is a module over the integral group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(M/E)]$  with respect to the group operation in  $M^*$  and the multiplication  $*: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(M/E)] \times M^* \rightarrow M^*$  canonically induced by the action of  $\mathcal{G}(M/E)$  on  $M^*$ . Note also that if  $\mathcal{G}(M/E)$  is a finite abelian group and  $F$  is an intermediate field of  $M/E$ , then  $F^*$ ,  $N(M/F)$  and  $F^{*l}$  are  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(M/E)]$ -submodules of  $M^*$  satisfying the inclusions  $F^{*l} \subseteq N(M/F) \subseteq F^*$ , where  $l = [F:E]$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $F$  a cyclic extension of  $E$  of prime degree  $p$ ,  $\alpha$  an element of  $F^*$ ,  $k$  an integer with  $0 < k < p$ ,  $\tau_i: i = 1, \dots, k$  a sequence of generators of  $\mathcal{G}(F/E)$ , and  $T_i = \tau_i - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(F/E)]$ , for each index  $i$ . Then:*

- (i) *Suppose  $k = p - 1$ ,  $\sigma$  is any generator of  $\mathcal{G}(F/E)$  and each  $\tau_i = \sigma^{m(i)}$ . Then  $(\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} T_i) * \alpha = (N_E^F(\alpha)\alpha^{-p})^m (\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1})^p$  for some  $\beta \in F^*$ , where  $m = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} m(i)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $(\prod_{i=1}^k T_i) * \alpha = 1$ , then  $\alpha^p \in E$  (and  $N_E^F(\alpha) = \alpha^p$ ); the converse is true in case  $k \geq 2$ ;*
- (iii) *If  $p \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 3$  and  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} T_i) * \alpha = \rho$ , with  $N_E^F(\alpha) \in F^{*p}$ , then  $\rho = (\prod_{i=1}^k T_i) * \gamma$ , for some  $\gamma \in F^*$ .*

*Proof.* (i) In the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (in one indeterminate), let  $Y = X - 1$ . Note first that for some  $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad -p + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i = (X-1)^{p-1} + p(X-1)g(X).$$

This is easy to see from the binomial expansion in terms of  $Y$ :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i = (X^p - 1)/(X - 1) = [(Y + 1)^p - 1]/Y = Y^{p-1} + p + \sum_{j=2}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} Y^{j-1}.$$

Now, note that for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $X^l - 1 = (X - 1)(X^{l-1} + \dots + 1) = (X - 1)[(X - 1)h_l(X) + l]$ , for some  $h_l(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Then, for some  $h(X), f(X), q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , using (5.1) at the last but one step,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (X^{m(i)} - 1) = (X - 1)^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} [(X - 1)h_{m(i)}(X) + m(i)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (X-1)^{p-1}[m + (X-1)h(X)] \\
&= m(X-1)^{p-1} + [(X-1)^p - X^p + 1]h(X) + (X^p - 1)h(X) \\
&= m\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i - p - p(X-1)g(X)\right] + p(X-1)f(X) + (X^p - 1)h(X) \\
&= m\left[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i - p\right] + p(X-1)q(X) + (X^p - 1)h(X).
\end{aligned}$$

The formula in Lemma 5.5 (i) is obtained by evaluating this equation in the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(F/E)]$ , mapping  $X$  into  $\sigma$ , then applying the result to  $\alpha$ . Specifically,  $\beta = q(\sigma) * \alpha$ .

(ii) It is clear from Galois theory that an element  $\alpha \in F^*$  satisfies the equality  $\tau_1(\alpha)\alpha^{-1} = 1$  if and only if  $\alpha \in E$ . When  $\alpha \in E$ , we have  $N_E^F(\alpha) = \alpha^p$ , so the former part of our assertion is proved in the case of  $k = 1$ . The obtained result also indicates that if  $(T_1 T_2) * \alpha = 1$ , then  $\tau_2(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -th root of unity lying in  $E$ , and since  $p > 2$ , this yields  $N_E^F(\alpha) = \alpha^p$ . Suppose further that  $k \geq 2$ . Clearly, if  $\alpha^p \in E^*$ , then  $\tau_k(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -th root of unity. In view of Lemma 5.3, this root lies in  $E$ , so  $(T_{k-1} T_k) * \alpha = 1$ , which proves the latter part of Lemma 5.5 (ii). It remains to be seen that  $\alpha^p \in E$ , provided that  $k \geq 3$  and  $(\prod_{i=1}^k T_i) * \alpha = 1$ . Then the element  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} T_i) * \alpha := \bar{\alpha}$  satisfies the equality  $N_E^F(\bar{\alpha}) = \bar{\alpha}^p = 1$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.3,  $\bar{\alpha} \in E^*$ , which implies that  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} T_i) * \alpha = 1$ . This result, used repeatedly, leads to the conclusion that  $(T_1 T_2) * \alpha = 1$ , and so completes the proof of the former part of Lemma 5.5 (ii).

(iii) Suppose that  $N_E^F(\alpha) = \alpha_0^p$ , for some  $\alpha_0 \in F^*$ . By Hilbert's Theorem 90, then  $\alpha = \alpha_0 \tau_k(\gamma) \gamma^{-1}$ , for some  $\gamma \in F^*$ . Hence, the inequalities  $p \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 3$  and the latter part of (ii), applied to  $\alpha_0$ , yield  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} T_i) * \alpha = (\prod_{i=1}^k T_i) * \gamma$ , so Lemma 5.5 is proved.

The main result of this Section can be stated as follows:

**Proposition 5.6.** *Assume that  $p$  is a prime number, and  $L/E$  is a noncyclic Galois extension of fields with  $[L:E] = p^2$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  be the intermediate fields,  $E \subset E_i \subset L$ , and for each  $i \leq p-1$ , let  $\varphi_i$  be any generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_i)$  and  $N_i = \varphi_i - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(L/E_i)]$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent, for any  $c \in E^*$ :*

- (i)  $c \in N(E_p/E)N(E_{p+1}/E)$ ;
- (ii) *There exist elements  $\zeta \in L, z_p \in E_p$  and  $z_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$  such that*

$$N_{E_i}^L(\zeta) = c \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1, \text{ and } N_{E_j}^L(\zeta) = c \cdot \left(\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t\right) * z_j \text{ for } j = p, p+1.$$

*Proof.* For each pair of indices  $j \geq p$ ,  $i \leq p-1$ ,  $\varphi_i$  induces on  $E_j$  an automorphism of order  $p$ , so Lemma 5.5 (i) implies the following statement:

(5.2) There exists a positive integer  $f(j)$  not divisible by  $p$ , such that

$$(\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t) * (\mu_j) E_j^{*p} = N_E^{E_j} (\mu_j^{f(j)}) E_j^{*p}, \text{ for every } \mu_j \in E_j^*.$$

We first show that (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that  $\zeta, z_p$  and  $z_{p+1}$  are related as in Proposition 5.6 (ii). By Lemma 5.4, then  $\zeta^p = c \cdot (\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t) * (z_p z_{p+1})$ , so it follows from (5.2) that

$c N_E^{E_p} (z_p^{-f(p)}) N_E^{E_{p+1}} (z_{p+1}^{-f(p+1)})$  is contained in  $L^{*p}$ . Applying now Lemmas 5.2 (ii) and 5.3, one concludes that  $c \in N(E_p/E) N(E_{p+1}/E)$  except, possibly, in the special case of  $p = 2 \neq \text{char}(E)$  and  $\sqrt{-1} \notin E$ . At the same time, it is easily verified that if  $p = 2$ , then

$$\varphi_1(z_3) z_3^{-1} = (\varphi_3 \varphi_1)(z_3) z_3^{-1} = N_{E_3}^L(\zeta) N_{E_1}^L(\zeta)^{-1} = (\varphi_3 \varphi_1)(\varphi_1(\zeta)) \varphi_1(\zeta)^{-1}$$

and  $\varphi_3 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ . Hence, by Galois theory,  $E_2^*$  contains the element  $z'_2 = z_3^{-1} \varphi_1(\zeta)$ . Therefore, we have

$$c = N_{E_1}^L(\zeta) = N_{E_1}^L(\varphi_1(\zeta)) = N_{E_1}^L(z_3) N_{E_1}^L(z'_2) = N_E^{E_3}(z_3) N_E^{E_2}(z'_2),$$

which completes our proof.

We prove that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $c = N_E^{E_p}(\alpha_p) N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\alpha_{p+1})$ , for some  $\alpha_p \in E_p$ ,  $\alpha_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$ . Since  $N_{E_{j'}}^L(\alpha_j) = N_E^{E_j}(\alpha_j)$ , for each  $j \geq p$  and any index  $j' \neq j$ , the product  $\zeta = \alpha_p \alpha_{p+1}$  satisfies the equalities  $N_{E_i}^L(\zeta) = c$ :  $i \leq p-1$ , and  $N_{E_j}^L(\zeta) = c a_j$ :  $j = p, p+1$ , where  $a_j = \alpha_j^p N_E^{E_j}(\alpha_j)^{-1}$ . Therefore, (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) will be proved, if we show that the equation  $(\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t) * X_j = a_j$  has a solution in  $E_j^*$ , for each  $j \geq p$ . Clearly,  $N_E^{E_j}(a_j) = 1$ , and by Hilbert's Theorem 90, this yields  $a_j = \varphi_1(b_j) b_j^{-1}$ , for some  $b_j \in E_j^*$ . When  $p = 2$ , our assertion is thereby proved, so we assume further that  $p > 2$ . Fix an integer  $k_j$  so that  $k_j m_j \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , where  $m_j$  is determined as  $m$  in Lemma 5.5 (i) by the restrictions of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_t$ :  $t = 1, \dots, p-1$ , on  $E_j$ . Applying Lemma 5.5 (i) and the equality  $a_j = \varphi_1(b_j) b_j^{-1}$ , one obtains that  $a_j = [(\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t) * \alpha_j^{k_j}] \varphi_1(\gamma_j^p) \gamma_j^{-p}$ , for some  $\gamma_j \in E_j^*$ . Now it suffices for the proof of (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) to establish the solvability of the equation  $(\prod_{t=1}^p N_t) * X_j = \varphi_1(\gamma_j)^p \gamma_j^{-p}$  over  $E_j^*$ , where  $N_p = N_1$ . This can be stated more completely as follows:

(5.3) For an element  $\rho_j$  of  $E_j^*$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (c) The equation  $(\prod_{t=1}^p N_t) * X_j = \rho_j$  is solvable over  $E_j$ ;
- (cc)  $\rho_j \in E_j^{*p}$  and the equation  $(N_1 N_2) * Y_j = \rho_j$  is solvable over  $E_j$ ;
- (ccc) There exists an element  $\eta_j \in E_j^*$  for which  $\varphi_1(\eta_j)^p \eta_j^{-p} = \rho_j$ .

We prove (5.3). (c)  $\rightarrow$  (ccc) Let  $\tilde{\rho}_j$  be an element of  $E_j$ , such that  $(\prod_{t=1}^p N_t) * \tilde{\rho}_j = \rho_j$ . By (5.2), we have  $(\prod_{t=1}^{p-1} N_t) * \tilde{\rho}_j = N_E^{E_j}(\tilde{\rho}_j^{f(j)})\eta_j^p$ , for some  $f(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\eta_j \in E_j$ . It is therefore clear that  $\rho_j = \varphi_1(\eta_j)^p \eta_j^{-p}$ , whence (c)  $\rightarrow$  (ccc).

(cc)  $\rightarrow$  (c) Assume that  $\rho_j \in E_j^{*p}$  and  $(N_1 N_2) * \rho'_j = \rho_j$ , for some  $\rho'_j \in E_j$ . We prove (c) by assuming the opposite. Then one obtains, using repeatedly Lemma 5.5 (iii), that there exists a pair  $(n(j), \bar{\rho}_j) \in (\mathbb{Z} \times E_j^*)$ , such that  $2 \leq n(j) \leq p-1$ ,  $(\prod_{t=1}^{n(j)} N_t) * \bar{\rho}_j = \rho_j$  and  $N_E^{E_j}(\bar{\rho}_j) \notin E_j^{*p}$ . On the other hand, Lemma 5.5 (i) indicates that if  $n(j) < p-1$ , then  $(\prod_{t=n(j)+1}^{p-1} N_t) * \rho_j$  could not lie in  $E_j^{*p}$ , which contradicts the condition  $\rho_j \in E_j^{*p}$ . The possibility of  $n(j) = p-1$  is ruled out in the same way, so (cc)  $\rightarrow$  (c), as claimed.

(ccc)  $\rightarrow$  (cc) Suppose finally that  $\rho_j = \varphi_1(\eta_j)^p \eta_j^{-p}$ , for some  $\eta_j \in E_j^*$ . Then we have  $(N_1 N_2) * \eta'_j = \rho_j$ , for every  $\eta'_j \in E_j^*$  satisfying the equality  $\varphi_2(\eta'_j) \eta_j'^{-1} = \eta_j^p N_E^{E_j}(\eta_j)^{-1}$ , so the proofs of (5.3) and Proposition 5.6 are complete.

## 6. Intermediate norms in noncyclic abelian extensions of degree $p^2$

The purpose of this Section is to prove Lemma 4.3. Let  $E$  be a field,  $p$  a prime number,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  different extensions of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree  $p$ ,  $\sigma$  an  $E$ -automorphism of  $F_1$  of order  $p$ , and  $L = F_1 F_2$ . By Lemma 5.1, then  $L/E$  is a noncyclic Galois extension,  $[L:E] = p^2$  and  $I(L/E) = \{E_1, \dots, E_{p-1}, F_1, F_2, E, L\}$ . Note also that  $E_1 \cap F_1 = E$  and  $N_E^{F_1}(\beta_1) = N_{E_1}^L(\beta_1)$ , for every  $\beta_1 \in F_1$ . Considering now the cyclic  $E$ -algebra  $A_\rho = (F_1/E, \sigma, \rho)$ , for an arbitrary  $\rho \in E^*$ , and observing that  $A_\rho \otimes_E E_1$  is  $E_1$ -isomorphic to  $(L/E_1, \bar{\sigma}, \rho)$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the unique  $E_1$ -automorphism of  $L$  extending  $\sigma$ , one obtains from (1.2), (1.4) (ii) and the  $p$ -quasilocal property of  $E$  that  $\rho \in N(L/E_1)$ , i.e.  $E^* \subseteq N(L/E_1)$ . This, combined with Proposition 5.6, proves Lemma 4.3 in the case of  $p = 2$ . Suppose further that  $p > 2$  and put  $E_{p+\mu} = F_\mu$ :  $\mu = 0, 1$ . Then Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 imply the following statements:

(6.1) (i)  $E$  does not contain a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity if and only if  $(L^{*p} \cap E) = E^{*p}$ .

(ii) If  $E$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, then conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.2 (ii) can be restated as follows:

(a)  $(L^{*p} \cap N(E_i/E)) = (E_i^{*p} \cap E)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p+1$ ;

(b)  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(E_i/E)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p+1$ .

Assume now that  $c \in E^*$  and  $\xi_1$  is an element of  $L$  of norm  $N_{E_1}^L(\xi_1) = c$ . The idea of our proof is to establish consecutively the existence of elements  $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_{p-1}$  of  $L$  satisfying the equalities  $N_{E_i}^L(\xi_k) = c$ :  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , for each index  $k$ , and



also to show that  $\xi_{p-1}$  can be chosen so as to satisfy condition (ii) of Proposition 5.6. To implement this we need additional information about the norms  $N_{E_j}^L(\xi_k)$ :  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, p - 1$ . It is contained in the following four lemmas and seems to be of independent interest.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $p$  an odd prime number,  $L/E$  a noncyclic Galois extension of degree  $p^2$ ,  $E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  the extensions of  $E$  in  $L$  of degree  $p$ ,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  generators of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_2)$ , respectively,  $N_i = \varphi_i - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}(L/E)]$ :  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\gamma$  an element of  $L$  of norms  $N_{E_1}^L(\gamma) = N_{E_2}^L(\gamma) = c$ , for some  $c \in E^*$ . Then  $N_{E_j}^L(\gamma) = c \cdot (N_1 N_2) * \nu_j$ , for some  $\nu_j \in E_j^*$  and each index  $j \geq 3$ . Moreover:*

- (i) *If  $p = 3$ , then  $c \in N(E_3/E)N(E_4/E)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $p \geq 5$ , then  $N_{E^3}^{E^3}(\nu_3)N_{E^j}^{E^j}(\nu_j)^{-1}$  is contained in  $L^{*p}$ , for  $j = 3, \dots, p + 1$ ; in addition, if  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then there exists  $\gamma' \in L$ , such that  $N_{E_i}^L(\gamma') = c$ :  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .*

*Proof.* One can assume without loss of generality that, for each index  $j \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_j)$  is generated by the element  $\varphi_1 \tau_j^{-1}$ , where  $\tau_j = \varphi_2^{j-2}$ . It is verified by direct calculations that the double product  $w_j(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} (\prod_{u=1}^n (\varphi_1^{n-u} \tau_j^u)(\lambda))$  satisfies the equality

$$(\varphi_1 \tau_j^{-1})(w_j(\lambda))w_j(\lambda)^{-1} = \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} (\varphi_1^n(\lambda) \tau_j^n(\lambda)^{-1}) = N_{E_1}^L(\lambda) N_{E_2}^L(\lambda)^{-1},$$

for any  $\lambda \in L^*$ . Similarly, one obtains that  $\tau_j(w_j(\lambda)) = \prod_{n=2}^p (\prod_{u=2}^n (\varphi_1^{n-u} \tau_j^u)(\lambda))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j(w_j(\lambda))w_j(\lambda)^{-1} &= \left[ \prod_{u=2}^p (\varphi_1^{p-u} \tau_j^u)(\lambda) \right] \cdot \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} (\varphi_1^{n-1} \tau_j)(\lambda) \right]^{-1} = \\ &= \left[ \prod_{u=1}^p (\varphi_1^{p-u} \tau_j^u)(\lambda) \right] \cdot \left[ \prod_{n=1}^p (\varphi_1^{n-1} \tau_j)(\lambda) \right]^{-1} = N_{E_j}^L(\lambda) N_{E_1}^L(\tau_j(\lambda))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $L/E$  is abelian, this means that  $\tau_j(w_j(\lambda))w_j(\lambda)^{-1} = N_{E_j}^L(\lambda) \tau_j(N_{E_1}^L(\lambda)^{-1})$ . These results show that  $\tau_j(w_j(\gamma))w_j(\gamma)^{-1} = N_{E_j}^L(\gamma) N_{E_1}^L(\gamma)^{-1}$  and  $(\varphi_1 \tau_j^{-1})(w_j(\gamma)) = w_j(\gamma)$ , i.e.  $w_j(\gamma) \in E_j$ , for  $j = 3, \dots, p + 1$ . Note also that

$$N_{E^j}^{E^j}(w_j(\gamma)) = N_{E_1}^L(w_j(\gamma)) = \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left( \prod_{u=1}^n N_{E_1}^L((\varphi_1^{n-u} \tau_j^u)(\gamma)) \right) = c^{p(p-1)/2}.$$

Observing now that  $\varphi_1$  induces on  $E_j$  an  $E$ -automorphism of order  $p$ , and applying Hilbert's Theorem 90 as well as the inequality  $p > 2$ , one concludes that there exists an element  $\xi_j \in E_j^*$  satisfying the conditions  $w_j(\gamma) = c^{(p-1)/2} \varphi_1(\xi_j) \xi_j^{-1}$  and  $N_{E_j}^L(\gamma) = c \cdot (N_1(\tau_j - 1)) * \xi_j$ . Therefore, we have  $N_{E_j}^L(\gamma) = c \cdot (N_1 N_2) * \nu_j$ , where

$\nu_j = \prod_{i=0}^{j-3} \varphi_2^i(\xi_j)$ , for each index  $j \geq 3$ . Thus Lemma 6.1 (i) reduces to a special case of Proposition 5.6. Similarly, the conclusions of Lemma 6.1 (ii) are contained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Assume that  $E, p, L, E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  are defined as in Lemma 6.1,  $\varphi_n$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_n)$  and  $N_n = \varphi_n - 1$ , for every index  $n$ . Let  $k$  be an integer with  $1 \leq k < p - 1$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \in L$  is of norms  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha) = c$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , for a given  $c \in E^*$ . Let also  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * \mu_j$ , for some  $\mu_j \in E_j^*$  and any  $j \geq k + 1$ . Then:*

- (i) *The products  $N_{E^{k+1}}^{E^{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})N_{E_j}^{E_j}(\mu_j)^{-1}$ :  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ , are contained in  $L^{*p}$ ; furthermore, if  $k = 1$ , then they lie in  $E^{*p}$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then there exists an element  $\alpha' \in L$ , such that  $N_{E_{i'}}^L(\alpha') = c$ :  $i' = 1, \dots, k + 1$ .*

*Proof.* We begin with the latter assertion of Lemma 6.2 (i). First we show that it suffices to consider the special case where  $\text{Br}(E)_p = \{0\}$ . By (1.6) (ii), there exists an extension  $\Lambda$  of  $E$ , such that  $\text{Br}(\Lambda)_p = \{0\}$  and  $E$  is algebraically closed in  $\Lambda$ . Denote by  $\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_{p+1}$  and  $\tilde{L}$  the tensor products  $E_1 \otimes_E \Lambda, \dots, E_{p+1} \otimes_E \Lambda$  and  $L \otimes_E \Lambda$ , respectively. It is clear from Galois theory and the equality  $L_{\text{sep}} \cap \Lambda = E$  that  $\Lambda^{*p} \cap E = E^{*p}$ ,  $\tilde{L}/\Lambda$  is a noncyclic abelian extension of degree  $p^2$  and  $\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_{p+1}$  are the extensions of  $\Lambda$  in  $\tilde{L}$  of degree  $p$ . In addition, it is easily verified that  $N_{\tilde{\Lambda}}^{\tilde{E}_n}(\eta_n \otimes_E 1) = N_{E_n}^{E_n}(\eta_n) \otimes_E 1$  and  $N_{\tilde{E}_n}^{\tilde{L}}(\eta \otimes_E 1) = N_{E_n}^L(\eta) \otimes_E 1$ :  $1 \leq n \leq p + 1$ ,  $\eta_n \in E_n$  and  $\eta \in L$ . These observations lead to the desired reduction. By Lemma 4.2 (ii), then  $N_{E_2}^L(e_2) = \mu_2$ , for some  $e_2 \in L$ . This implies that  $N_{E_u}^L(\alpha') = c$ ,  $u = 1, 2$ , where  $\alpha' = \alpha\varphi_1(e_2^{-1})e_2$ . Applying Lemma 6.1, one obtains that  $\mu_j N_{E_j}^L(e_2)^{-1} = c_j \varphi_2(\nu_j) \nu_j^{-1}$ , for some  $c_j \in E^*$ ,  $\nu_j \in E_j^*$ , and each index  $j \geq 3$ . Hence,  $N_{E_j}^{E_j}(\mu_j) N_{E_j}^L(e_2)^{-1} = c_j^p$ , which proves the latter part of Lemma 6.2 (i).

For the proof of the former one, it is now sufficient to consider the special case where  $k \geq 2$ . Fix an index  $j \geq k + 2$ , denote by  $M(j)$  and  $K(j)$  the sets  $\{k + 2, \dots, p + 1\} \setminus \{j\}$  and  $\{1, \dots, p + 1\} \setminus \{k + 1, j\}$ , respectively, and put  $\alpha_j = (\prod_{m \in M(j)} N_m) * \alpha$ . It is easily verified that  $N_{E_{j'}}^L(\alpha_j) = 1$ , for all  $j' \in K(j)$ . Taking also into account that  $N_E^L(\alpha_j) = 1$ , and for every  $n \in K(j)$ ,  $\varphi_n$  induces on  $E_{k+1}$  and  $E_j$  automorphisms of order  $p$ , one obtains by applying Lemma 5.4 that  $\alpha_j^p = (\prod_{n \in K(j)} N_n) * (\mu_{k+1} \mu_j)$ . In view of (5.2), this yields  $\alpha_j^p = N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})^{m(k+1)} N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j)^{m(j)} \theta_{k+1}^p \theta_j^p$ , for some integers  $m(k+1)$  and  $m(j)$  not divisible by  $p$ , and some  $\theta_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}^*$ ,  $\theta_j \in E_j^*$ . Therefore, the former statement of Lemma 6.2 (i) will be proved, if we show that  $p$  divides  $m(k+1) + m(j)$ . For each index  $n \geq 3$ , denote by  $l(n)$  the unique integer satisfying the conditions

$1 \leq l(n) < p$  and  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2^{-l(n)} \in \mathcal{G}(L/E_n)$ . Using the fact that  $\varphi_n^l(\beta) \beta^{-1} = \varphi_n(\beta_l) \beta_l^{-1}$ , where  $\beta_l = \prod_{u=1}^l \varphi_n^{u-1}(\beta)$ , for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta \in (E_{k+1}^* \cup E_j^*)$ , one concludes that it suffices to consider the special case where  $\varphi_n = \varphi_1 \varphi_2^{-l(n)}$ ,  $n = 3, \dots, p+1$ . Then  $\varphi_1(\lambda_\nu) = \varphi_2^{l(\nu)}(\lambda_\nu)$  and  $\varphi_n(\lambda_\nu) = \varphi_2^{l(\nu)-l(n)}(\lambda_\nu)$ , for  $\nu \geq 3$  and  $\lambda_\nu \in E_\nu$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.5 (i) and the inequality  $k \geq 2$ , one can take as  $m(k+1)$  and  $m(j)$  the products

$$(6.2) \quad l(k+1).1. \prod_{n \in L(j)} (l(k+1) - l(n)) \text{ and } l(j).1. \prod_{n \in L(j)} (l(j) - l(n)),$$

respectively, for a suitable choice of  $\theta_{k+1}$  and  $\theta_j$ , where  $L(j) = \{3, \dots, p+1\} \setminus \{k+1, j\}$ . Observe also that  $\bar{m}(k+1) \equiv \bar{m}(j) \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p}$ , for  $\bar{m}(k+1) = (l(k+1) - l(j))m(k+1)$  and  $\bar{m}(j) = (l(j) - l(k+1))m(j)$ . This implies that  $p | (m(k+1) + m(j))$  and so proves the former assertion of Lemma 6.2 (i). The rest of our proof relies on the following statements:

- (6.3) (i) If  $k = 1$ , then  $N_E^{E_2}(\mu_2) \in N(E_3/E)$ ;  
(ii) If  $k \geq 2$  and  $j \geq k+2$ , then there exist elements  $\lambda_j \in E_{k+1}^*$  and  $\omega_j \in E_j^*$ , such that  $\lambda_j^p \in E, \omega_j^p \in E$  and  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\lambda_j \mu_{k+1}) N_E^{E_j}(\omega_j \mu_j)^{-1} \in E^{*p}$ ; in addition,  $N_{E_{k+1}}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * (\lambda_j \mu_{k+1})$  and  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * (\omega_j \mu_j)$ .

Statement (6.3) (i) follows at once from the latter part of Lemma 6.2 (i), and by the second part of Lemma 5.5 (ii),  $(N_1 N_2) * t_{j'} = 1$  whenever  $1 \leq j' \leq p+1$ ,  $t_{j'} \in E_{j'}^*$  and  $t_{j'}^p \in E^*$ . This allows us to deduce (6.3) (ii) from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3.

We are now in a position to prove Lemma 6.2 (ii). Statement (6.3) indicates that  $N_{E_{k+1}}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * \mu'_{k+1}$  and  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu'_{k+1}) \in N(E_{k+2}/E)$ , for some  $\mu'_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}^*$ . It is therefore clear from Lemma 4.2 (iii) that if  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then  $L$  contains an element  $e_{k+1}$  of norm  $N_{E_{k+1}}^L(e_{k+1}) = \mu'_{k+1}$ . In this case, the element  $\alpha' = \alpha.(\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * e_{k+1}^{-1}$  satisfies the equations  $N_{E_{i'}}^L(X) = c: i' = 1, \dots, k+1$ , so Lemma 6.2 is proved.

**Lemma 6.3.** Assume that  $E, p, L, E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  are given as in Lemma 6.1,  $c \in E^*$ ,  $k$  is an integer with  $1 \leq k < p$ , and  $\alpha \in L$  satisfies the equalities  $N_{E_n}^L(\alpha) = c, n = 1, \dots, k$ . Suppose also that either  $E$  does not contain a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity or condition (6.1) (ii) (a) holds, and for each index  $n \leq k$ , let  $\varphi_n$  be a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_n)$  and  $N_n = \varphi_n - 1$ . Then there exist elements  $\mu_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}^*, \dots, \mu_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}^*$ , such that

$$(6.4) \quad N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{n=1}^k N_n) * \mu_j, \quad j = k+1, \dots, p+1.$$

Moreover,

- (i) If  $k < p - 1$ , then the  $(p + 1 - k)$ -tuple  $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1})$  can be chosen so that  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})E^{*p} = N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j)E^{*p}$ , for each index  $j \geq k + 1$ ; in this case, the co-set  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})E^{*p}$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$  but not on the choice of  $\mu_{k+1}$ ;
- (ii) If  $k < p - 1$  and  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then  $\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1}$  have the properties required by (i) if and only if  $\mu_j \in N(L/E_j)$ ,  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the existence of elements  $\mu_j \in E_j^*$ ,  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ , satisfying (6.4) and with the properties required by the former statement of Lemma 6.3 (i). If  $k = 1$ , this is covered by Lemma 6.2, since then its second hypothesis follows from Lemma 5.4. Henceforth, we consider the special case of  $k \geq 2$ , assuming that our assertions are valid for  $k - 1$  and each element of  $L$  of norm  $c$  over  $E_n$ :  $n = 1, \dots, k - 1$ . This, applied to  $\alpha$ , enables one to deduce from Lemma 5.5 (ii) the existence of elements  $\tilde{\mu}_j \in E_j^*$ ,  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ , such that  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} N_n) * \tilde{\mu}_j$  and  $N_E^{E_j}(\tilde{\mu}_j) \in E_k^p$ , for each index  $j$ . In view of (6.1) (i), (ii) (a) and Kummer theory, this implies that  $N_E^{E_j}(\tilde{\mu}_j) \in E^{*p}$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.5 (iii),  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c.(\prod_{n=1}^k N_n) * \mu_j$ , for some  $\mu_j \in E_j^*$  and every  $j \geq k + 1$ . Furthermore, it follows from (6.1) (i) and Lemma 6.2 (i) that if  $k < p - 1$  and  $E$  does not contain a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, then  $\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1}$  have the properties required by the former statement of Lemma 6.3 (i). Suppose now that  $k < p - 1$  and (6.1) (ii) (a) holds (with  $E$  containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity). Then one obtains from (6.3) and Lemma 6.2 that  $\bar{\mu}$  can be fixed so that  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})N_E^{E_{k+2}}(\mu_{k+2})^{-1} \in E^{*p}$  and  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j)^{-1} \in E_{k+1}^{*p}$ , for  $j = k + 3, \dots, p + 1$ . We show that  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1})N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j)^{-1} \in E^{*p}$ ,  $j \geq k + 3$ . Statement (6.3) (ii) and our choice of  $\bar{\mu}$  guarantee that  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1}) \in (N(E_{k+1}/E) \cap N(E_{k+2}/E))$  and for each  $j \geq k + 3$ , there exists  $\lambda_j \in E_{k+1}^*$ , such that  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\lambda_j \mu_{k+1})N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j)^{-1}$  lies in  $E^{*p}$  and  $\lambda_j^p \in E$ . As  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\lambda_j) = \lambda_j^p$ , and by Lemma 1.1,  $N_E^{E_{k+1}}(\mu_{k+1}) \in N(E_j/E)$ , this means that  $\lambda_j^p \in (L^{*p} \cap N(E_{k+1}/E) \cap N(E_j/E))$ . Moreover, by (6.1) (ii) (a),  $\lambda_j^p \in (E_{k+1}^{*p} \cap E_j^{*p})$ . Since, by Kummer theory,  $E_{k+1}^{*p} \cap E_j^{*p} = E^{*p}$ , this yields  $\lambda_j \in E^*$ , for  $j = k + 3, \dots, p + 1$ , which completes the proof of the existence part of Lemma 6.3.

Assume further that the elements  $\mu_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$  satisfy (6.4) and have the properties required by the former part of Lemma 6.3 (i), fix a  $(p + 1 - k)$ -tuple  $\bar{u} = (u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{p+1}) \in (E_{k+1} \times \dots \times E_{p+1})$ , and put  $t_j = u_j \mu_j^{-1}$ ,  $j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$ . Clearly, we have  $c.(\prod_{n=1}^k N_n) * u_j = N_{E_j}^L(\alpha)$ , for a given index  $j > k$ , if and only if  $(\prod_{n=1}^k N_n) * t_j = 1$ . When  $k = 1$  or  $E$  does not contain a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, it follows from (6.1) (i), Lemma 5.5 (ii) and Galois theory that this occurs if and only if  $t_j \in E^*$ . Therefore, in this case, the latter part of Lemma 6.3 (i) becomes obvious, and Lemma 6.3 (ii) can be deduced from Lemma 4.2 (iii) (and the inclusion  $E^* \subseteq N(L/E_j)$  when  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal). Suppose now that  $k \geq 2$  and

(6.1) (ii) (a) holds. Then it follows from Lemma 5.5 (ii) that  $\bar{u}$  is a solution to (6.4) if and only if  $t_j^p \in E^*$ ,  $j = k+1, \dots, p+1$ . When  $\bar{u}$  is a solution, one sees that it has the properties required by the former assertion of Lemma 6.3 (i) if and only if all  $t_j$  lie in  $E$ . This proves Lemma 6.3 (i). At the same time, as above, one obtains from Lemma 4.2 (iii) that if  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal and  $\bar{u}$  satisfies (6.4), then  $u_{j'} \in N(L/E_{j'})$ , for a given index  $j' \geq k+1$ , if and only if  $t_{j'} \in E$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.3.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $E$  be a field containing a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, and let  $L/E$ ,  $p$  and  $E_1, \dots, E_{p+1}$  satisfy (6.1) (ii) (b) and the conditions of Lemma 6.1. Suppose that  $k$  is an integer with  $2 < k < p$ ,  $\xi_k$  is a  $p$ -th root in  $E_k$  of an element  $a_k \in (E^* \setminus E^{*p})$ ,  $\alpha \in L$  is of norms  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha) = c$ :  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , for some  $c \in E^*$ , and for each index  $n$ ,  $\varphi_n$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{G}(L/E_n)$  and  $N_n = \varphi_n - 1$ . Then there exists an integer  $\nu(k, \alpha)$  and a  $(p+1-k)$ -tuple  $\bar{\mu} = (\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1}) \in (E_{k+1} \times \dots \times E_{p+1})$ , such that  $0 \leq \nu(k, \alpha) < p$ ,  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i) * \mu_j$  and  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j) = a_k^{\nu(k, \alpha)}$ , for  $j = k+1, \dots, p+1$ . Moreover,*

- (i)  $\nu(k, \alpha)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\bar{\mu}$  but is uniquely determined by  $k, \alpha$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\nu(k, \alpha) = 0$  if and only if there are elements  $\lambda_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$ , such that  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^k N_i) * \lambda_j$ ,  $j = k+1, \dots, p+1$ ;
- (iii) If  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, then  $\xi_k \in N(L/E_k)$ , and for each integer  $m$  with  $0 \leq m < p$ , there exists  $\alpha_m \in L$ , such that  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha_m) = c, i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $\nu(k, \alpha_m) = m$ .

*Proof.* Note first that it suffices to establish the existence of an integer  $\nu(k, \alpha)$  and of elements  $\mu_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$ , such that  $0 \leq \nu(k, \alpha) < p$  and for every index  $j \geq k+1$ ,  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i) * \mu_j$  and  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j) = a_k^{\nu(k, \alpha)}$ . Indeed, then Lemma 6.4 (i) can be deduced from Kummer theory and Lemma 5.5 (ii), and Lemma 6.4 (ii) follows from Hilbert's Theorem 90. When  $E$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, Lemma 4.2 (iii) and the inclusions  $(L^{*p} \cap E) \subseteq N(E_n/E)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, p+1$ , ensure the existence of an element  $e_k \in L$  of norm  $N_{E_k}^L(e_k) = \xi_k$ . Using the inequality  $k \geq 3$ , one easily verifies that

$$N_{E_i}^L((\prod_{u=1}^{k-1} N_u) * e_k^m) = (\prod_{u=1}^{k-1} N_u) * N_{E_i}^L(e_k)^m = 1: i = 1, \dots, k; m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies that the element  $\alpha_m = \alpha \cdot (\prod_{u=1}^{k-1} N_u) * e_k^{m-\nu(k, \alpha)}$  has the properties required by Lemma 6.4 (iii), for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ .

We turn to the main part of the proof of the lemma. Suppose first that  $k = 3$ . By Lemma 6.1, then there exist  $\nu_4 \in E_4^*, \dots, \nu_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}^*$ , such that  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (N_1 N_2)$

$\ast \nu_j$ :  $j = 4, \dots, p+1$ . Note also that by Lemma 6.2,  $N_{E_j}^{E_j}(\nu_j) \in L^{\ast p}$ , for each  $j \geq 4$ . This enables one to deduce from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.5 (ii) that  $\nu_j$  can be chosen so as to satisfy  $N_{E_j}^{E_j}(\nu_j) = a_3^{n(j)}$ , for some  $n(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq n(j) < p$ . We show that  $n(j) = n(4)$  for  $j = 5, \dots, p+1$ . As in the proof of the latter assertion of Lemma 6.2 (i), our considerations reduce to the special case in which  $\text{Br}(E)_p = \{0\}$ . By Lemma 4.2 (ii), then  $E_{p+1}$  contains an element  $\theta_{p+1}$  of norm  $N_{E_j}^{E_{p+1}}(\theta_{p+1}) = c$ . This implies that  $N_{E_n}^L(\theta_{p+1}) = c$ :  $n = 1, \dots, p$ , and  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha\theta_{p+1}^{-1}) = 1$ :  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Hence, by Hilbert's Theorem 90,  $\alpha\theta_{p+1}^{-1} = \varphi_1(\tilde{\alpha})\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ , for some  $\tilde{\alpha} \in L^*$ . Moreover, it follows from Galois theory and these facts that the norms  $N_{E_2}^L(\tilde{\alpha}) := \rho_2$  and  $N_{E_3}^L(\tilde{\alpha}) := \rho_3$  lie in  $E$ . Since  $N_E^L(\tilde{\alpha}) = N_{E_i}^{E_i}(\rho_i) = \rho_i^p$ :  $i = 2, 3$ , the element  $\varepsilon = \rho_2\rho_3^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -th root of unity. Choose  $\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}$  from  $E_{p+1}$  so that  $N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}) = \rho_2$ . Then  $N_{E_n}^L(\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}) = \rho_2$ :  $n \leq p$ , and by Hilbert's Theorem 90, the equation  $\varphi_2(Y)Y^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}^{-1}$  has a solution  $\bar{\alpha} \in L^*$ . Clearly, we have  $\varphi_2(N_{E_3}^L(\bar{\alpha}))N_{E_3}^L(\bar{\alpha})^{-1} = \varepsilon^{-1}$ , which means that  $N_{E_3}^L(\bar{\alpha}) = \omega\xi_3^\mu$ , for some  $\omega \in E^*$ ,  $\mu \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . By Lemma 4.2 (ii), there exists  $\lambda \in L^*$  of norm  $N_{E_3}^L(\lambda) = \xi_3^\mu$ . This implies that  $N_{E_3}^L(\bar{\alpha}\lambda^{-1}) = \omega = \varphi_2(N_{E_3}^L(\bar{\alpha}\lambda^{-1}))$ . As  $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\theta}_{p+1}\varphi_2(\bar{\alpha})\bar{\alpha}^{-1}$ , one also sees that  $N_{E_2}^L(\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda) = N_{E_3}^L(\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda) = \rho_2$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda = \tilde{\alpha}\varphi_2(\lambda^{-1})\lambda$ . Therefore, by Lemma 6.1, there are  $c'_j \in E_j$  such that

$$N_{E_j}^L(\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda) = \rho_2 \cdot (N_2 N_3) \ast c'_j, \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq p+1, j \neq 2, 3.$$

Observing also that the equation  $(\prod_{u=1}^{p-1} N_u) \ast X_{p+1} = \theta_{p+1}^p c^{-1}$  has a root in  $E_{p+1}$  (see the proof of implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) of Proposition 5.6), one concludes that the elements  $\alpha \cdot (N_1 N_2) \ast \lambda^{-1} = \theta_{p+1} \varphi_1(\tilde{\alpha}_\lambda) \tilde{\alpha}_\lambda^{-1}$  and  $c$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6.2, for  $k = 3$ . In other words,  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha \cdot (N_1 N_2) \ast \lambda^{-1}) = c$ :  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and

$$N_{E_j}^L(\alpha \cdot (N_1 N_2) \ast \lambda^{-1}) = c \cdot (N_1 N_2) \ast (\nu_j N_{E_j}^L(\lambda^{-1})) = c \cdot (N_1 N_2 N_3) \ast c_j, j = 4, \dots, p+1,$$

where  $c_j = c'_j$ :  $j \leq p$  and  $c_{p+1}$  is some element of  $E_{p+1}^*$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.5 (ii), one can find an element  $\delta_j \in E_j^*$ , such that  $\delta_j^p \in E$  and  $\nu_j N_{E_j}^L(\lambda^{-1}) = \delta_j \varphi_3(c_j) c_j^{-1}$ . The obtained result indicates that  $N_E^{E_j}(\nu_j) N_E^L(\lambda^{-1}) = a_3^{n(j)-\mu} = \delta_j^p$ , i.e.  $a_3^{n(j)-\mu} \in E_j^{\ast p}$ . In view of Kummer theory and the assumptions on  $a_3$ ,  $\mu$  and  $n(j)$ , this means that  $n(j) = \mu$ , for  $j = 4, \dots, p+1$ , as claimed.

Assume now that  $k > 3$  and the conclusions of the lemma are valid for  $k-1$ , every subset  $\{\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_{k-1}\}$  of  $\{E_1, \dots, E_k\}$ , and each pair  $(\alpha', c') \in (L^* \times E^*)$  satisfying the equalities  $N_{\Phi_i}^L(\alpha') = c'$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ . This, applied to  $\alpha$  and  $(E_1, \dots, E_{k-1})$ , implies the existence of elements  $\mu'_j \in E_j^*$ :  $j = k+1, \dots, p+1$ , such that  $c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} N_i) \ast \mu'_j = N_{E_j}^L(\alpha)$ ,  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu'_j) \in E_{k-1}^{\ast p}$  and  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu'_j) = N_{E_k}^{E_k}(\mu'_k)$ , for each index  $j$  and some  $\mu'_k \in E_k^*$  satisfying the equality  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-2} N_i) \ast \mu'_k = 1$ . In view of Kummer theory and Lemma 5.5 (ii), this yields  $N_E^{E_k}(\mu'_k) \in E^{\ast p}$ , so it

follows from Lemma 5.5 (iii) that  $N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i) * \mu_j$ , for some  $\mu_j \in E_j^*$ . By Lemma 6.2 and the equality  $N_{E_k}^L(\alpha) = c$ , this means that  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j) \in L^{*p}$ . Furthermore, it becomes clear from Lemma 5.2 that  $\mu_j$  can be chosen so that  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j) = a_k^{n(j)}$ , for some  $n(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq n(j) \leq p-1$ . It remains to be seen that  $n(j) = n(k+1)$ ,  $j = k+2, \dots, p+1$ . As in the case of  $k=3$ , we obtain that one may assume in addition that  $\text{Br}(E)_p = \{0\}$ . By Lemma 4.2 (ii), then  $E_{p+1}$  contains an element  $\theta_{p+1}$  of norm  $N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\theta_{p+1}) = c$ . Observing that  $N_{E_n}^L(\theta_{p+1}) = c$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, p$ , and  $N_{E_i}^L(\alpha\theta_{p+1}^{-1}) = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , one deduces from Hilbert's Theorem 90 that  $\alpha\theta_{p+1}^{-1} = \varphi_1(\tilde{\alpha})\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ , for some  $\tilde{\alpha} \in L^*$ . Also, it follows from Galois theory that  $N_{E_i}^L(\tilde{\alpha}) := \rho_i$  lies in  $E^*$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . We show that the  $\rho_i$ 's are equal. Our argument relies upon the fact that  $N_E^L(\tilde{\alpha}) = N_E^{E_i}(\rho_i) = \rho_i^p$ , i.e. the elements  $\varepsilon_i = \rho_i\rho_k^{-1}$  are  $p$ -th roots of unity. By Lemma 4.2 (ii), there exists an element  $\tilde{\theta}_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$ , such that  $N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}) = \rho_k$ . Note also that  $N_{E_n}^L(\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}) = \rho_k$ :  $n = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $N_{E_i}^L(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}^{-1}) = \varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ , and  $N_{E_k}^L(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}^{-1}) = 1$ . Hence, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, the equation  $\varphi_k(Z)Z^{-1} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\theta}_{p+1}^{-1}$  has a solution  $\bar{\alpha} \in L^*$ . Observing that the norm  $N_{E_i}^L(\bar{\alpha}) := \omega_i$  satisfies the equality  $\varphi_k(\omega_i)\omega_i^{-1} = \varepsilon_i$ , one obtains from Lemma 5.5 (ii) that  $N_E^L(\bar{\alpha}) = N_E^{E_i}(\omega_i) = \omega_i^p$ . As  $k > 3$ , Kummer theory and these calculations show that  $N_E^L(\bar{\alpha}) \in E^{*p}$ , which yields consecutively  $\omega_i \in E^*$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  and  $\rho_i = \rho_k$ , for all  $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ . Therefore, by hypothesis, one can find an integer  $\nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})$  and elements  $\delta'_1 \in E_1$ ,  $\delta'_{k+1} \in E_{k+1}, \dots, \delta'_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$ , such that

$$0 \leq \nu(k, \tilde{\alpha}) \leq p-1, N_{E_j}^L(\tilde{\alpha}) = \rho_k \left( \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} N_i \right) * \delta'_j \text{ and } N_E^{E_j}(\delta'_j) = a_k^{\nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})},$$

for  $j=1$  and  $j \geq k+1$ . It has also been pointed out that, by the proof of Proposition 5.6, the choice of  $\theta_{p+1}$  ensures the solvability of the equation  $(\prod_{u=1}^{p-1} N_u) * X_{p+1} = \theta_{p+1}^p c^{-1}$  over  $E_{p+1}$ . In view of the equality  $\alpha = \theta_{p+1}\varphi_1(\tilde{\alpha})\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ , these results yield

$$N_{E_j}^L(\alpha) = c \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i \right) * \delta''_j: j = k+1, \dots, p+1,$$

where  $\delta''_j = \delta'_j: j \leq p$ , and  $\delta''_{p+1} = \delta'_{p+1} \cdot (\prod_{z=k}^{p-1} N_z) * \theta'_{p+1}$ , for any  $\theta'_{p+1} \in E_{p+1}$  satisfying the equality  $(\prod_{u=1}^{p-1} N_u) * \theta'_{p+1} = \theta_{p+1}^p c^{-1}$ . This implies that  $(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} N_i) * (\mu_j \delta''_j^{-1}) = 1$  and  $N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\delta''_{p+1}) = N_E^{E_{p+1}}(\delta'_{p+1})$ , so it follows from Lemma 5.5 (ii) that  $E_j^{*p}$  contains the norm  $N_E^{E_j}(\mu_j \delta''_j^{-1}) = a_k^{n(j) - \nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})}$ , for every  $j > k$ . It is now clear from Kummer theory and the condition on  $a_k$  that  $p | (n(j) - \nu(k, \tilde{\alpha}))$ . The obtained result and the assumptions on  $n(j)$  and  $\nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})$  indicate that  $n(j) = \nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})$ ,

$j = k + 1, \dots, p + 1$  (i.e. one may put  $\nu(k, \alpha) = \nu(k, \tilde{\alpha})$ ), which completes the proof of Lemma 6.4.

*Proof of Lemma 4.3.* Assume that  $p > 2$  and  $F_1 F_2 = L$ , take  $E_1, \dots, E_{p-1}$  as at the beginning of this Section, put  $E_{p+\mu} = F_\mu$ :  $\mu = 0, 1$ , and fix an arbitrary element  $c \in E^*$ . We have already proved that  $N_{E_1}^L(\xi_1) = c$ , for some  $\xi_1 \in L$ . Hence, by Lemmas 5.4 and 6.2 (ii),  $L$  contains an element  $\xi_2$  such that  $N_{E_i}^L(\xi_2) = c$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . In view of Lemma 6.1, this proves Lemma 4.3 in the case of  $p = 3$ . Suppose now that  $p \geq 5$ . By Lemma 6.1 (ii), then there exists  $\xi_3 \in L$  of norms  $N_{E_i}^L(\xi_3) = c$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Combining finally Lemma 6.2 with Lemma 6.3 or 6.4 (and applying (6.1)), one obtains consecutively the existence of elements  $\xi_4, \dots, \xi_{p-1} \in L$  such that  $N_{E_i}^L(\xi_j) = c$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, j$ , for each index  $j$ . Furthermore, one concludes that  $\xi_{p-1}$  can be chosen so as to satisfy condition (ii) of Proposition 5.6. This shows that  $c \in N(F_1/E)N(F_2/E)$ , so Lemma 4.3 is proved.

## 7. Proof of Theorem 4.1

First we complete the technical preparation for the proof of our main result by showing that the class of  $p$ -quasiloc al fields is closed under the formation of cyclic extensions of degree  $p$ . This is carried out in two steps stated as lemmas.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Assume that  $E$  is a  $p$ -quasiloc al field for a given prime number  $p$ ,  $L/E$  is a Galois extension of degree  $p^2$ ,  $F$  is an extension of  $E$  in  $L$  of degree  $p$ ,  $\Delta \in d(F)$  and  $\text{ind}(\Delta) = p$ . Then  $L$  is embeddable in  $\Delta$  as an  $F$ -subalgebra.*

*Proof.* As  $F/E$  is cyclic, Lemma 4.2 (i) and Theorem 3.1 imply that  $\Delta$  is similar over  $F$  to  $D \otimes_E F$ , for some  $D \in d(E)$  of exponent  $p^2$ . Since the  $L$ -algebras  $D \otimes_E L$  and  $(D \otimes_E F) \otimes_F L$  are isomorphic (cf. [P, Sect. 9.4, Corollary a]), hence similar to  $\Delta \otimes_F L$ , this means that the conclusion of Lemma 7.1 can be restated by saying that  $L$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra. In particular, by Theorem 3.1 (iii), it holds in the case where  $L$  is cyclic over  $E$ . Suppose further that  $L/E$  is noncyclic, i.e.  $L = MF$ , where  $M$  is a cyclic extension of  $E$  in  $L$  of degree  $p$ ,  $M \neq F$ . Also, let  $\sigma$  be an  $E$ -automorphism of  $M$  of order  $p$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  the unique  $F$ -automorphism of  $L$  extending  $\sigma$ , and  $D_1$  the underlying division algebra of the  $p$ -th tensor power of  $D$  over  $E$ . Observing that  $D_1 \in d(E)$  and  $\text{ind}(D_1) = p$ , one obtains from (1.4) and Lemma 4.3 that  $D_1 \cong (M/E, \sigma, c)$ , for some  $c \in N(F/E)$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an element of  $F$  of norm  $N_E^F(\gamma) = c$ . As noted in the proof of Lemma 4.2 (iii), then  $\text{cor}_{F/E}$  maps  $[(L/F, \tilde{\sigma}, \gamma)]$  into  $[(M/E, \sigma, c)]$ . At the same time, by [T, Theorem 2.5], we have  $\text{cor}_{F/E}([\Delta]) = [D_1]$ . Hence, by the injectivity of  $\text{cor}_{F/E}$  and the equality  $[\Delta:F] = [(L/F, \tilde{\sigma}, \gamma):F] = p^2$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $(L/F, \tilde{\sigma}, \gamma)$  are  $F$ -isomorphic, which proves Lemma 7.1.



The application of the corestriction mapping in the proofs of Lemmas 4.2 (iii) and 7.1 was suggested by the referee (for somewhat longer proofs relying only on general properties of crossed products, see e.g., the cross-reference in the proof of [Ch6, (4.1) (iii)]).

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $E$  be a  $p$ -quasilocal field and  $F$  a cyclic extension of  $E$  of degree  $p$ . Then  $F$  is  $p$ -quasilocal.*

*Proof.* For each  $\chi \in X_p(F)$ , denote by  $L_\chi$  the cyclic extension of  $F$  in  $F_{\text{sep}}$  fixed by  $\text{Ker}(\chi)$ , and by  $s$  the pairing  $X_p(F) \times F^* \rightarrow {}_p\text{Br}(F)$  defined as in the proof of Lemma 1.1. Suppose also that  $\tau \in \mathcal{G}(F/E)$  and take any automorphism  $\rho$  of  $F_{\text{sep}}$  extending  $\tau$ . Then  $\tau$  acts on  $X_p(F)$  by  $\tau(\chi)(g) = \chi(\rho^{-1}g\rho)$ , for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}_F$ . Because  $X_p(F)$  is an abelian group of exponent  $p$ , this allows us to view it as a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{G}(F/E)]$ . Note that for any  $\chi \in X_p(F)$  and  $b \in F^*$ , we have  $\tau(s(\chi, b)) = s(\tau(\chi), \tau(b))$ . Observe that

$$(7.1) \text{ if } \tau(s(\chi, b)) = s(\chi, b), \text{ then } s(\chi - \tau(\chi), \tau(b)) = s(\chi, \tau(b)b^{-1}).$$

For  $s(\chi - \tau(\chi), \tau(b)) = s(\chi, \tau(b)) - s(\tau(\chi), \tau(b)) = s(\chi, \tau(b)) - s(\chi, b) = s(\chi, \tau(b)b^{-1})$ , by the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinearity of  $s$ . Now to prove Lemma 7.2 note that  $\mathcal{G}(F/E)$  acts trivially on  ${}_p\text{Br}(F)$ , by Lemma 4.2 (i) and (1.5). Consider a cyclic extension  $L$  of  $F$  in  $F_{\text{sep}}$  of degree  $p$ . For the proof of the lemma, it suffices to show that  $\text{Br}(L/F) = {}_p\text{Br}(F)$  (see (1.1) (i) and (1.2) (ii)). The given field  $L$  is  $L_\chi$  for some  $\chi \in X_p(F)$ . Define inductively  $\chi_1 = \chi$ ,  $\chi_2 = \chi_1 - \psi(\chi_1), \dots, \chi_{i+1} = \chi_i - \psi(\chi_i), \dots$ , where  $\psi$  is a fixed generator of  $\mathcal{G}(F/E)$ . As  $X_p(F)$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{G}(F/E)]$ -module and (by Lemma 1.2)  $1 - \psi$  is nilpotent in  $\mathbb{F}_p[\mathcal{G}(F/E)]$ , we have  $\chi_l = 0$  for  $l$  sufficiently large. Choose  $k$  so that  $\chi_{k+1} = 0$  but  $\chi_k \neq 0$ . Since  $\psi(\chi_k) = \chi_k$ ,  $L_{\chi_k}$  is Galois over  $E$  (of degree  $p^2$ ). Hence,  $\text{Br}(L_{\chi_k}/F) = {}_p\text{Br}(F)$  by Lemma 7.1. But because  $\mathcal{G}(F/E)$  acts trivially on  ${}_p\text{Br}(F)$ , statement (7.1) (with  $\psi$  for  $\tau$ ) shows that  $\text{Br}(L_{\chi_i}/F) \subseteq \text{Br}(L_{\chi_{i-1}}/F)$ , for each  $i \geq 2$ . In view of the inclusion  $\text{Br}(L/F) \subseteq {}_p\text{Br}(F)$ , this proves that  $\text{Br}(L/F) = \text{Br}(L_{\chi_k}/F) = {}_p\text{Br}(F)$ , as desired.

It is now easy to prove Theorem 4.1. Suppose first that  $R$  is an extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$  of degree  $p^n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and fix an extension  $U$  of  $E$  in  $R$  of degree  $p$ . Clearly,  $[R:U] = p^{n-1}$ , and by Lemma 7.2,  $U$  is a  $p$ -quasilocal field. This, combined with Lemma 4.2 (i) and the equality  $\pi_{R/E} = \pi_{R/U} \circ \pi_{U/E}$ , enables one to prove by induction on  $n$  that  $R$  has the properties required by Theorem 4.1 (i)-(ii). Assuming that  $\text{Br}(E)_p \neq \{0\}$ , fix an algebra  $D \in d(E)$  of index divisible by  $p$ , and put  $\text{g.c.d.}([R:E], \text{ind}(D)) = p^k$ . By Galois theory and the subnormality of proper subgroups of finite  $p$ -groups,  $R/E$  possesses an intermediate

field  $U_k$  such that  $[U_k:E] = p^k$  and  $U \subseteq U_k$ . We show that  $U_k$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra. Let  $D_1$  be the underlying division algebra of  $D \otimes_E U$ . By Theorem 3.1 (i)-(iv), applied to  $D/E$  and  $D_1/U$ , we have  $\exp(D) = \text{ind}(D)$  and  $\text{ind}(D_1) = \exp(D_1) = \exp(D)/p = \text{ind}(D)/p$ . At the same time, the equality  $\pi_{U_k/E} = \pi_{U_k/U} \circ \pi_{U/E}$  ensures that  $D \otimes_E U_k$  and  $D_1 \otimes_U U_k$  are similar over  $U_k$ . Since  $[U_k:U] = p^{k-1}$ , these observations and (1.2) (ii) indicate that  $U_k$  embeds in  $D$  over  $E$  if and only if it embeds in  $D_1$  over  $U$ . Now the embeddability of  $U_k$  in  $D$  is easily proved by induction on  $k$ . As  $\pi_{R/E} = \pi_{R/U_k} \circ \pi_{U_k/E}$ , this result, statement (1.2) (ii) and Theorem 4.1 (iv) imply Theorem 4.1 (iii).

It remains to be seen that if  $R$  is an infinite extension of  $E$  in  $E(p)$ , then  $\text{Br}(R)_p = \{0\}$ . Let  $\Delta \in d(R)$  be of  $p$ -primary dimension. By (1.3), there exists an  $R$ -isomorphism  $\Delta \cong \Delta_0 \otimes_{R_0} R$ , for some finite extension  $R_0$  of  $E$  in  $R$ , and some  $\Delta_0 \in d(R_0)$ . The  $R_0$ -algebra  $\Delta_0$  is split by  $R$ , since  $R_0$  is  $p$ -quasilocal,  $\pi_{R_0/R} = \pi_{R'_0/R} \circ \pi_{R_0/R'_0}$  for every intermediate field  $R'_0$  of  $R/R_0$ , and since for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $R$  contains as a subfield an extension  $R_m$  of  $R_0$  of degree  $p^m$ . Therefore,  $\Delta = R$  and  $\text{Br}(R)_p = \{0\}$ , so Theorem 4.1 is proved.

## 8. On the absolute Galois groups of absolutely stable and of quasilocal fields

Now we turn our attention to the residue fields of Henselian valued absolutely stable fields with totally indivisible value groups. Proposition 2.3 and [Ch1, Corollary 4.6] indicate that a perfect field  $E$  is isomorphic to such a residue field if and only if  $E$  is quasilocal. Our next result characterizes nonreal perfect quasilocal fields and almost perfect absolutely stable fields by cohomological properties of the Sylow subgroups of their absolute Galois groups. Supplemented in [Ch3, Sect. 3] by a similar treatment of the formally real case, it shows that quasilocal fields form one of the basic classes of absolutely stable fields.

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $\Pi(E)$  the set of all prime numbers  $p$  for which  $\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{G}_E) \neq 0$ , and  $\{G_p\}$  a set of Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}_E$ , indexed by  $\Pi(E)$ . Then:*

- (i) *If  $E$  is quasilocal and nonreal, then  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type, for each  $p \in \Pi(E)$ ; conversely, if  $E$  is perfect with  $G_p$  a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type, for every  $p \in \Pi(E)$ , then  $E$  is nonreal and quasilocal.*
- (ii) *If  $E$  is absolutely stable, then the cup-product mapping of  $H^1(G'_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \times H^1(G'_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$  into  $H^2(G'_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is surjective, for every open subgroup  $G'_p$  of  $G_p$  and each  $p \in \Pi(E)$ ; the converse is true, provided that  $E$  is almost perfect.*

The proof and the applications of Theorem 8.1 rely on the fact that if  $\tilde{E}/E$  is a purely inseparable field extension, then  $E_{\text{sep}} \otimes_E \tilde{E}$  is a separable closure of  $\tilde{E}$  and

there exist group isomorphisms  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{E}} \cong \mathcal{G}_E$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{E}(p)/\tilde{E}) \cong \mathcal{G}(E(p)/E)$ ,  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . For instance, when  $\tilde{E}$  is a perfect closure of  $E$  and  $E$  is taken as required by (4.2), it is thereby proved that  $\tilde{E}$  is quasilocal. Since  $\mathcal{G}(F(p)/F)$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type, for every quasilocal nonreal field  $F$  and each  $p \in \mathcal{P}(F)$  (see [Ch8, Sect. 3]), this allows us to view the first part of (4.2) as a description of the spectrum of values of the main cohomological invariants of quasilocal fields. Note that this spectrum is much wider than the one in the case of local fields, and more generally, of Henselian valued quasilocal fields with totally indivisible value groups (see [Se1, Ch. II, 2.2 and 5.6], [Wa2, Lemma 7] and the comment on Proposition 8.9).

*Proof of Theorem 8.1.* Our argument relies on the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.2.** *The classes of absolutely stable fields and of quasilocal fields are closed under the formation of algebraic extensions.*

*Proof.* The assertion about the class of absolutely stable fields follows at once from (1.3) (i) and (iii). Let now  $E/E_0$  be an algebraic field extension,  $W \in d(E)$ , and let  $F/E$  be a cyclic extension of degree  $n$  dividing  $\text{ind}(W)$ . By (1.3) (i)-(ii), there is a finite extension  $F'$  of  $E_0$  in  $F$  and a central division algebra  $W'$  over the field  $E \cap F' := E'$ , such that  $F'/E'$  is cyclic of degree  $n$  and the  $E$ -algebras  $W' \otimes_{E'} E$  and  $F' \otimes_{E'} E$  are isomorphic to  $W$  and  $F$ , respectively. It is therefore clear that if  $E_0$  is quasilocal, then  $F$  embeds in  $W$  as an  $E$ -subalgebra, which proves our assertion about the class of quasilocal fields.

Statement (1.8), [Ch3, Proposition 3.1] and our next lemma indicate that it is sufficient to prove Theorem 8.1 in the special case where  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is a pro- $p$ -group, for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ .

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $E$  be a field,  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of prime numbers, and for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $G_p$  be a Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_E$  and  $E_p = \{\alpha \in E_{\text{sep}} : \sigma_p(\alpha) = \alpha, \sigma_p \in G_p\}$ . Then:*

- (i)  *$E$  is absolutely stable if and only if  $E_p$  have the same property, for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ;*
- (ii)  *$E$  is quasilocal if and only if so are  $E_p$ :  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ; this is the case if and only if  $E_p$  is  $p$ -quasilocal, for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that  $\text{Br}(E_p) = \text{Br}(E_p)_p = \text{Br}(E_{\text{sep}}/E_p)$ , for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  (see P, Sect. 13.5]). This, combined with Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.4, reduces the latter conclusion of Lemma 8.3 (ii) to a consequence of the former one. We prove Lemma 8.3 (i) and the former part of Lemma 8.3 (ii). Let  $\bar{E}$  be an algebraic closure of  $E_{\text{sep}}$ ,  $F$  a finite extension of  $E$  in  $\bar{E}$ , and  $F_0 = F \cap E_{\text{sep}}$ . Consider an algebra  $D \in d(F)$  of  $p$ -power index, for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and a cyclic extension  $L/F$  of degree dividing  $\text{ind}(D)$ . It follows from Sylow's theorem (cf. [Se1, Ch. I, 1.4]) and Galois theory that  $E_{\text{sep}}$

contains as a subfield an  $E$ -isomorphic copy  $E'_p$  of  $E_p$ , such that  $\mathcal{G}(E_{\text{sep}}/(F_0 E'_p))$  is a Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(E_{\text{sep}}/F_0)$ . Since  $F$  is purely inseparable over  $F_0$ , this implies that  $\mathcal{G}(F_{\text{sep}}/(F E'_p))$  is a Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(F_{\text{sep}}/F)$ , where  $F_{\text{sep}} = F E_{\text{sep}}$  is the separable closure of  $F$  in  $\bar{E}$ . Therefore,  $p$  does not divide the degree of any finite extension of  $F$  in  $F E'_p$ . Hence, by (1.2),  $D \otimes_F (F E'_p)$  lies in  $d(F E'_p)$  and has index  $\text{ind}(D)$  and exponent  $\text{exp}(D)$ . In addition, it follows that  $L \otimes_F (F E'_p)$  is a cyclic extension of  $F E'_p$  which embeds in  $D \otimes_F (F E'_p)$  as an  $(F E'_p)$ -subalgebra if and only if  $L$  embeds in  $D$  over  $F$ . These observations, statements (1.1) (ii)-(1.3) and Lemma 8.2 enable one to complete the proof of Lemma 8.3.

Now we aim at proving Theorem 8.1 under the hypothesis that  $E_{\text{sep}} = E(p)$ , for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is a free pro- $p$ -group if and only if  $\text{Br}(E) = \{0\}$  or  $\text{char}(E) = p$ ; this occurs if and only if  $H^2(\mathcal{G}_E, \mathbb{F}_p) = \{0\}$  (cf. [Wa1, Theorem 3.1; Wa2, page 725] or [Se1, Ch. I, 4.2; Ch. II, 2.2 and 3.1]). Also, it follows from Lemma 4.2 (ii) and the Albert-Hochschild theorem that if  $\text{Br}(E) = \{0\}$ , then  $\text{Br}(E_1) = \{0\}$ , for every finite extension  $E_1$  of  $E$ . For example,  $\text{Br}(E) = \{0\}$  when  $E$  is perfect and  $\text{char}(E) = p$  (cf. [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 22]). Henceforth, we assume that  $\text{Br}(E) \neq \{0\}$ . Suppose first that  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ . Then Lemma 5.3 implies that  $E$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Hence, by Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 4.1,  $E$  is nonreal and  $p$ -quasiloal if and only if  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is a  $p$ -group of Demushkin type. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1 (i), so our next objective is to prove Theorem 8.1 (ii). It follows from [A1, Ch. XI, Theorem 3] that  $E$  is absolutely stable if and only if  ${}_p\text{Br}(F)$  equals the set  $\{[\Delta]: \Delta \in d(F), \text{ind}(\Delta) = p\}$ , for each finite extension  $F/E$ . Note also that central division  $F$ -algebras of index  $p$  are symbol algebras, since  $\mathcal{G}_F$  is a pro- $p$ -group. These observations, combined with (3.2), prove Theorem 8.1 (ii) in case  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$ . In order to complete our proof it remains to be seen that  $E$  is stable, provided that it is almost perfect,  $\text{char}(E) = p$  and  $\text{Br}(E) \neq \{0\}$ . This is obtained from (1.8), [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 22] and the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.4.** *Assume that  $E$  is a field, such that  $\text{char}(E) = p > 0$  and  $[E: E^p] = p$ . Let  $D \in d(E)$  be of index  $p^m$ , for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\text{exp}(D) = p^m$  and  $D$  possesses a maximal subfield that is a purely inseparable extension of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$  and put  $\text{exp}(D) = p^{\bar{m}}$ ,  $E_0 = E$  and  $E_n = \{\alpha_n \in \bar{E}: \alpha_n^{p^n} \in E\}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows from (1.8) and the equality  $[E: E^p] = p$  that  $E_{n+1}^p = E_n$  and  $E_n$  is the unique purely inseparable extension of  $E$  in  $\bar{E}$  of degree  $p^n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since, by Albert's theory of  $p$ -algebras (cf. [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 32]),  $E_{\bar{m}}$  is a splitting field of  $D$ , this observation and statements (1.1) (i) and (1.2) (ii) imply that  $\bar{m} = m$  and  $E_m$  is  $E$ -isomorphic to some maximal subfield of  $D$ , as desired.

**Corollary 8.5.** *Let  $F$  be a quasilocal field,  $L/F$  a finite separable extension and  $D \in d(F)$ . Then  $L$  embeds in  $D$  as an  $F$ -subalgebra if and only if  $[L:F]$  divides  $\text{ind}(D)$ ;  $L$  is a splitting field of  $D$  if and only if  $[L:F]$  is divisible by  $\text{ind}(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Galois theory, Sylow's theorem and (1.2) as in the proof of Lemma 8.3, one reduces our considerations to the special case in which  $\text{ind}(D)$  is a power of a prime  $p$ ,  $L \subseteq F(p)$  and  $L \neq F$ . Then our assertion can be deduced from Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 8.6.** *For a quasilocal field  $E$ , the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every finite extension  $L$  of  $E$  is embeddable as an  $E$ -subalgebra in each  $\Delta \in d(E)$  of index divisible by  $[L:E]$ ;*
- (ii)  *$E$  has some of the following two properties:*  
 $(\alpha)$   *$E$  is almost perfect;*  $(\beta)$   *$\text{char}(E) = q > 0$  and  $\text{Br}(E)_q = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\text{char}(E) = 0$  or  $E$  has property (ii)  $(\beta)$ . Then  $\text{char}(E)$  does not divide  $\text{ind}(\Delta)$ , for any  $\Delta \in d(E)$ , so it follows from Corollary 8.5 that condition (i) holds. Henceforth, we assume that  $\text{char}(E) = q > 0$  and  $\text{Br}(E)_q \neq \{0\}$ . The implication (ii)  $(\alpha) \rightarrow$  (i) has essentially been deduced from Corollary 8.5 and Lemma 8.4 in the process of proving [Ch1, Corollary 2.7] (although formally the result referred to applies to the case where  $E$  is taken as in the concluding assertion of Proposition 2.3). It remains for us to show here that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)  $(\alpha)$ . Assuming the opposite, one obtains that there is a purely inseparable extension  $\Phi$  of  $E$  such that  $[\Phi:E] = q^2$  and  $\Phi^q \subseteq E$ . At the same time, the divisibility and nontriviality of  $\text{Br}(E)_q$  guarantees the existence of an algebra  $D \in d(E)$  of exponent  $q^2$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 (i),  $\text{ind}(D) = q^2$ . Hence, by [A1, Ch. VII, Theorem 32],  $\Phi$  does not split  $D$ , which means in this case that it does not embed in  $D$  over  $E$ . The obtained contradiction proves that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)  $(\alpha)$ , as required.

**Remark 8.7.** Let  $F = F_0((X))$  be the formal Laurent power series field in an indeterminate  $X$  over a finite field  $F_0$  of characteristic  $q$ , and let  $v$  be the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued valuation of  $F$ . It is known that  $F$  is noncountable, hence,  $F/F_0$  is an extension of infinite transcendency degree. Fix an infinite set  $S_\infty$  in  $F$  of algebraically independent elements over  $F_0$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $S_n$  some subset of  $S_\infty$  of cardinality  $n$ . Also, let  $E_n$  be the separable closure of the field  $F_0(X)(S_n)$  in  $F$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . It is not difficult to see that the valuation of  $E_n$  induced by  $v$  is Henselian and discrete with a residue field  $F_0$ . Therefore, by [Ch2, Corollary 2.5],  $E_n$  is quasilocal. We show that  $E_n$  does not possess the properties of Corollary 8.6 (ii), for any  $n \leq \infty$ . Since  $F_0$  has a cyclic extension of degree  $q$ ,  $E_n$  admits a nicely semiramified division algebra of index  $q$  (see [JW, Sect. 4]), so  $\text{Br}(E_n)_q \neq \{0\}$ . At the same time, it follows from

the definition of  $E_n$  and [L1, Ch. X, Propositions 3 and 6] that  $[E_n : E_n^q] = q^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $[E_\infty : E_\infty^q] = \infty$ .

We conclude this Section with examples of quasilocal fields of very simple type with respect to the structure of the Sylow subgroups of their absolute Galois groups.

**Proposition 8.8.** *Let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  be an algebraic closure of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers,  $v_p$  the unique valuation of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  extending the natural valuation of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $E$  a closed subfield of the completion  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Then  $E$  is quasilocal.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is algebraically closed. The assumption on  $E$  means that  $E$  is complete with respect to the restriction  $v$  of the valuation of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  continuously extending  $v_p$ ; this shows in particular that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a subfield of  $E$ . Observing that  $v(E)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , one obtains from the completeness of  $E$  that  $v$  is Henselian. Since  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , this implies that each finite extension of  $E$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is included in an extension of  $E$  obtained by adjunction of an element of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  (see the lemma in [L2, page 380]). Hence, the algebraic closure  $\overline{E}$  of  $E$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is equal to  $E\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . In view of Galois theory and the general properties of tensor products, the obtained result indicates that  $\overline{E}$  is  $E$ -isomorphic to  $E \otimes_{E_0} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , where  $E_0 = E \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Moreover, it becomes clear that every  $E$ -automorphism of  $\overline{E}$  is determined by its action on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , and also, that  $\mathcal{G}_E$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{G}_{E_0}$ . As  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is quasilocal, Proposition 8.8 follows now directly from Theorem 8.1 and Lemma 8.2.

**Proposition 8.9.** *With notation being as in Theorem 8.1, let  $E$  be a nonreal field and  $G_p$  a pro- $p$ -group of rank  $n(p) \leq 2$ , for every  $p \in \Pi(E)$ . Then  $E$  is quasilocal.*

*Proof.* Lemma 8.3 allows one to consider only the special case in which  $E_{\text{sep}} = E(p)$ , for some  $p \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . It follows from [J, Proposition 4.4.8], Lemma 4.2 (ii) and the Albert-Hochschild theorem that if  $p = \text{char}(E)$ , then  $\text{Br}(U) = \{0\}$ , for every algebraic extension  $U/E$ . Assuming that  $p \neq \text{char}(E)$  whence  $E$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity, one obtains from [Wa2, Lemma 7] that  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is a free pro- $p$ -group or a Demushkin group (see also [EnV, Theorem 4.7], for the case of  $p = 2$ ). Now our assertion can be deduced from Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 4.1.

Note finally that the conditions of Proposition 8.9 hold, if  $E$  is a quasilocal field of characteristic  $q \geq 0$  with some of the following two properties: (i)  $\mathcal{G}_E$  is torsion-free with abelian Sylow pro- $p$ -subgroups, for all  $p \in \Pi(E)$  (apply Lemma 8.3 and [Ch3, Lemma 3.2]); (ii)  $q \notin \Pi(E)$  and  $E$  has a Henselian valuation  $v$  such that  $v(E)$  is  $p$ -indivisible, for every  $p \in \Pi(E)$  (cf. [Ch1, (1.2) (ii) and Remark 2.2] and [Ch2]).

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee for the extremely careful reading of the paper and for his/her suggestions, especially, the improvements in the presentation of Sections 1, 5 and Remark 8.7, the correction of (6.2), the observed inaccuracies in Sections 6 and 7, and the much shorter proofs of Proposition 2.1 and the former part of Lemma 4.2 (i).

## REFERENCES

- [A1] A.A. ALBERT, *Structure of Algebras*. Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., XII, New York, 1939.
- [A2] A.A. ALBERT, *Modern Higher Algebra*. Chicago Univ. Press, XIV, Chicago, Ill., 1937.
- [Am1] S.A. AMITSUR, *Generic splitting fields of central simple algebras*. Ann. Math. 62 (1955), 8-43.
- [Am2] S.A. AMITSUR, *On central division algebras*. Isr. J. Math. 12 (1972), 12-22.
- [Am3] S.A. AMITSUR, *Generic splitting fields*. Proc. Brauer Groups in Ring Theory and Algebraic Geometry (Antwerp 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. 917 (1981), 1-24.
- [Ar] M. ARTIN, *Two-dimensional orders of finite representation type*. Manuscripta math. 58 (1987), 445-471.
- [B] E. BRUSSEL, *Division algebra subfields introduced by an indeterminate*. J. Algebra 188 (1997), 216-255.
- [CF] J.W.S. CASSELS, A. FRÖHLICH (Eds.), *Algebraic Number Theory*. Proc. Instruct. Conf., organized by LMS (a NATO Adv. Study Inst.) with the support of IMU, held at the Univ. of Sussex, Brighton, 01.9-17.9., 1965, Academic Press, London-New York, 1967.
- [Ch1] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *Henselian valued stable fields*. J. Algebra 208 (1998), 344-369.
- [Ch2] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *Henselian valued quasilocal fields with totally indivisible value groups*. Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), No 7, 3093-3108.
- [Ch3] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *On the Galois cohomological dimensions of Henselian valued stable fields*. Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), 1549-1574.
- [Ch4] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *Central division algebras of  $p$ -primary dimensions and the  $p$ -component of the Brauer group of a  $p$ -quasilocal field*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Bulg. 55 (2002), 55-60.
- [Ch5] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *On the residue fields of Henselian valued stable fields*. Preprint, Inst. Math. Bulg. Acad. Sci., February 1997, No 1, 21 p.

- [Ch6] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *One-dimensional abstract local class field theory*. Preprint, arXiv:math/0506515v4 [math.RA].
- [Ch7] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *On the Brauer groups of quasilocal nonreal fields and the norm groups of their finite Galois extensions*. Preprint, arXiv:0707.4245v3 [math.RA].
- [Ch8] I.D. CHIPCHAKOV, *Primarily quasilocal fields, divisible torsion Galois modules and Brauer groups of stable fields*. Preprint.
- [D1] S.P. DEMUSHKIN, *On the maximal  $p$ -extension of a local field*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Math. Ser., 25 (1961), 329-346 (in Russian).
- [D2] S.P. DEMUSHKIN, *On 2-extensions of a local field*. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 4 (1963), 951-955 (Russian: English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, 50 (1966), 178-182).
- [Dr1] P. DRAXL, *Skew Fields*. London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Series, 81. Cambridge etc., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.
- [Dr2] P. DRAXL, *Ostrowski's theorem for Henselian valued skew fields*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 354 (1984), 213-218.
- [Ef] I. EFRAT, *On fields with finite Brauer groups*. Pac. J. Math. 177 (1997), 33-46.
- [E] O. ENDLER, *Valuation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [EnV] A.J. ENGLER, T.M. VISWANATHAN, *Digging holes in algebraic closures a la Artin I*. Math. Ann. 265 (1983), 263-271.
- [Er] YU.L. ERSHOV, *Co-Henselian extensions and Henselizations of division algebras*. Algebra i Logika 27 (1988), 649-658 (Russian: English transl. in Algebra and Logic 27 (1988), 401-407).
- [FSS] B. FEIN, D. SALTMAN, M. SCHACHER, *Heights of cyclic field extensions*. Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 40 (1988), Ser. A, 213-223.
- [FS] B. FEIN, M. SCHACHER, *Relative Brauer groups, I*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 321 (1981), 179-194.
- [FSa] T.J. FORD, D. SALTMAN, *Division algebras over Henselian surfaces*. Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 1989, No 1, 320-336.
- [F] L. FUCHS, *Infinite Abelian Groups*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1970.
- [JW] B. JACOB, A. WADSWORTH, *Division algebras over Henselian fields*. J. Algebra 128 (1990), 126-179.
- [J] N. JACOBSON, *Finite-Dimensional Division Algebras over Fields*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [Jo] A.J. de JONG, *The period-index problem in the theory of algebraic surfaces*. Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), 71-94.
- [K] G. KARPILOVSKY, *Topics in Field Theory*. North-Holland Math. Stud. 155, Amsterdam, 1989.



- [Ko] H. KOCH, *Galoissche Theorie der  $p$ -Erweiterungen*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1970.
- [Lab1] J.P. LABUTE, *Demushkin groups of rank  $\aleph_0$* . Bull. Soc. Math. France 94 (1966), 211-244.
- [Lab2] J.P. LABUTE, *Classification of Demushkin groups*. Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), 106-132.
- [La] T.Y. LAM, *Orderings, valuations and quadratic forms*. Conf. Board Math. Sci. Regional Conf. Ser. Math. No 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [L1] S. LANG, *Algebra*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1965.
- [L2] S. LANG, *On quasi-algebraic closure*. Ann. Math. 55 (1952), 373-390.
- [LvD] A. LUBOTZKY, L. VAN DEN DRIES, *Subgroups of free profinite groups and large subfields of  $\mathbb{Q}$* . Isr. J. Math. 39 (1981), 25-45.
- [M] A.S. MERKURJEV, *Brauer groups of fields*. Comm. Algebra 11 (1983), No 22, 2611-2624.
- [MS] A.S. MERKURJEV, A.A. SUSLIN, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and norm residue homomorphisms*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 46 (1982), 1011-1046 (Russian: English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 21 (1983), 307-340).
- [MW1] J. MINA Č, R. WARE, *Demushkin groups of rank  $\aleph_0$  as absolute Galois groups*. Manuscripta math. 73 (1991), 411-421.
- [MW2] J. MINA Č, R. WARE, *Pro-2-Demushkin groups as Galois groups of maximal 2-extensions of fields*. Math. Ann. 292 (1992), 337-353.
- [P] R. PIERCE, *Associative Algebras*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 88, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.
- [Pl] V.P. PLATONOV, *The Tannaka-Artin problem and reduced K-theory*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 40 (1976), 227-261 (Russian: English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 10 (1976), 211-243 (1977)).
- [Re] I. REINER, *Maximal Orders*. London Math. Soc. Monographs, v. 5, London-New York-San Francisco: Academic Press, a subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers, 1975.
- [R] P. RIBENBOIM, *Equivalent forms of Hensel's lemma*. Exposition. Math. 3 (1985), 3-24.
- [Roq1] P. ROQUETTE, *On the Galois cohomology of the projective linear group and its applications to the construction of generic splitting fields of algebras*. Math. Ann. 150 (1963), 411-439.
- [Roq2] P. ROQUETTE, *Isomorphism of generic splitting fields of simple algebras*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 214 (1964), 207-226.
- [Se1] J.-P. SERRE, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*. Lecture Notes in Math. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1965.
- [Se2] J.-P. SERRE, *Structure de certains pro- $p$ -groupes (après Demushkin)*. Semin. Bourbaki 15 1962/1963 (1964), No 252, 11 p.

- [Se3] J.-P. SERRE, *Local Fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979.
- [T] J.-P. TIGNOL, *On the corestriction of central simple algebras*. Math. Z. 194 (1987), 267-274.
- [TY] I.L. TOMCHIN, V.I. YANCHEVSKIJ, *On defects of valued division algebras*. Algebra i Analiz 3 (1991), No 3, 147-164 (Russian: English transl. in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3 (1992), No 3, 631-646).
- [W] A.R. WADSWORTH, *Extending valuations to finite dimensional division algebras*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1986), 20-22.
- [Wa1] R. WARE, *Quadratic forms and profinite 2-groups*. J. Algebra 5 (1979), 227-237.
- [Wa2] R. WARE, *Galois groups of maximal  $p$ -extensions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 333 (1992), No 2, 721-728.
- [Wh] G. WHAPLES, *Algebraic extensions of arbitrary fields*. Duke Math. J. 24 (1957), 201-204.

Ivan CHIPCHAKOV  
 Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
 Acad. G. Bonchev Str., bl. 8  
 1113 SOFIA, Bulgaria